CERN-PH-TH/2014-026

Iwasawa nilpotency degree of non compact symmetric cosets in $\mathcal{N}-$extended Supergravity

Sergio L. Cacciatori[1], **Bianca L. Cerchiai**[2], **Sergio Ferrara**[3], **Alessio Marrani**[4]

[1] Dipartimento di Scienze ed Alta Tecnologia,
Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como, Italy
and INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy
sergio.cacciatori@uninsubria.it

[2] Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy
and INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy
bianca.letizia.cerchiai@cern.ch

[3] Physics Department, Theory Unit, CERN,
CH 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland
and INFN - Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati,
Via Enrico Fermi 40, I-00044 Frascati, Italy
and Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547, USA
sergio.ferrara@cern.ch

[4] Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, KU Leuven,
Celestijnenlaan 200D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium
alessio.marrani@fys.kuleuven.be

arXiv:1402.5063v1 [hep-th] 20 Feb 2014

We analyze the polynomial part of the Iwasawa realization of the coset representative of non compact symmetric Riemannian spaces. We start by studying the role of Kostant's principal $SU(2)_P$ subalgebra of simple Lie algebras, and how it determines the structure of the nilpotent subalgebras. This allows us to compute the maximal degree of the polynomials for all faithful representations of Lie algebras. In particular the metric coefficients are related to the scalar kinetic terms while the representation of electric and magnetic charges is related to the coupling of scalars to vector field strengths as they appear in the Lagrangian.

We consider symmetric scalar manifolds in $\mathcal{N}-$extended supergravity in various space-time dimensions, elucidating various relations with the underlying Jordan algebras and normed Hurwitz algebras. For magic supergravity theories, our results are consistent with the Tits-Satake projection of symmetric spaces and the nilpotency degree turns out to depend only on the space-time dimension of the theory.

These results should be helpful within a deeper investigation of the corresponding supergravity theory, *e.g.* in studying ultraviolet properties of maximal supergravity in various dimensions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Iwasawa Nilpotency Degrees in a given Representation 3
2.1. Iwasawa Polynomials for the Split Real Form 3
2.2. Iwasawa Polynomials for the other non compact, Real Forms 8
3. The Degree d_g of Metric Polynomials 11
4. Summary of Results 12
5. Application to Supergravity 16
5.1. q-Independence of d and d_g for Magic Supergravities 17
5.2. q-Dependence of d and d_g for $J^{\mathbb{A}_s}$-based Maxwell-Einstein (Super)Gravity Theories and U-Representations of 1-Form Potentials 18
5.3. Universal Nilpotency Degree of Axionic Iwasawa Generators 19
Acknowledgments 29
Appendix A. On Racah-Casimir Polynomials in $\mathfrak{g}$ 30
Appendix B. Semispin Groups 30
Appendix C. Inverse Cartan Matrices 31
Appendix D. Dynkin diagrams 33
Appendix E. The Satake Type Vectors 34
References 36

1. Introduction

In the present paper we analyze the polynomial part of the Iwasawa realization of the coset representative of non compact symmetric Riemannian spaces.

Such non compact forms of the Lie groups are relevant for supergravity [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Here the scalar sector of the theory is described by a non linear sigma model based on a non compact symmetric space with negative curvature G/K, where G is non compact and K is its maximal compact subgroup. In this framework G is the continuos group of U-duality transformations, called electric magnetic dualities in $D = 4$. Exceptions to this description are $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and 2 theories, where the sigma model doesn't need to be a symmetric space but it has a restricted holonomy (Hodge-Kähler for $\mathcal{N} = 1$, special Kähler and Quaternionic for vector and hypermultiplets in $\mathcal{N} = 2$).

Moreover, non compact homogeneous spaces and their non compact duality group G appear in the description of the black hole orbits [9, 10, 11, 12] as well as duality invariant Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula [13, 14, 15, 16] according to the attractor mechanism [17, 18, 19]. The study of the attractor mechanism in string theory has been pioneered in [20].

In the past few years there has been a strong development in 4-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity theories (SUGRA) because of their unexpected remarkable ultraviolet behaviour. It has been discovered that $d = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA is finite in the ultraviolet up to four loops [21], while the pure $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory is finite up to 3 loops [22], which is not a priori guaranteed by supersymmetry, where a valid counterterm could in principle exist. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUGRA, however, is already divergent at four loops [23], and when coupled with matter already at one loop [24, 25].

Perturbative finiteness of $d = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA is possible only if its $E_{7(7)}$ symmetry is anomaly-free [26, 27, 28, 29]. Even when the symmetry is anomalous, as in pure $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUGRA, its Ward identities can restrict counterterms [30, 31, 32]. The latter can remain invariant under the Borel parabolic subgroup [32] of the original non compact duality group. At the quantum level the group

is expected to be broken to one of its discrete subgroups. An example of this phenomenon is the Dirac-Schwinger-Zwanziger quantization of black hole states.

The Iwasawa parametrization explictly constructed at the group level in [33] provides a realization of the coset based on a nilpotent subalgebra. As the coset representative directly enters the Lagrangian of the corresponding supergravity theory, it naturally delivers a choice of the fields for which they appear polynomially in the Lagrangian, making the calculations significantly more manageable. This is relevant for the study of the ultraviolet properties of maximal supergravities in various dimensions.

Our analysis of the structure of the nilpotent subalgebra is based on the work of Kostant [34] who has introduced the concept of principal $SU(2)$ subalgebra (principal triple). He has shown how such principal $SU(2)$ characterizes the nilpotent subalgebras of maximal degree of the enveloping algebra in the adjoint representation. We generalize his results for the adjoint to all the faithful linear representations.

The principal triple is related to the appearance of W-algebras, which are relevant as symmetries for integrable Toda systems (for a review see e.g. [35]) and higher spins [36]. In particular, the W-algebra related to the principal SL(2) is finite and Abelian and the corresponding Toda system is called Abelian. In the framework of AdS higher spins, the choice of an embedding of $SL(2)$ determines the asymptotical symmetry on the boundary, hence fixing the theory. It turns out [37] that only the W-algebras constructed using the principal embedding could admit a unitary representation for large values of the central charge.

We have been able to determine the degree of the polynomials in the nilpotent part of the Iwasawa decomposition for the faithful representations of all the non compact symmetric spaces. In particular we have applied our results to the symmetric scalar manifolds in Maxwell-Einstein theories of (super)gravity in various space-time dimensions for various signatures. We also determine the degree of the polynomials occurring in the biinvariant metric of the coset. We find that in the case of magic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity the degree is independent of the particular representation appearing in the corresponding magic square, but depends only on the space-time dimension. On the other hand, in the case of theories associated to split normed algebras, like e.g. maximal supergravity, we discover an intriguing connection with the 1-form potential representations of the electric-magnetic (U-)duality group.

The plan of the paper is as follows.

In Sec. 2 we introduce the general setup, and define the Iwasawa nilpotency degree in a given representation of a Lie group. Then, in Secs. 2.1 and 2.2 the nilpotency degree is computed for the maximally non compact (*split*) form and for any other real form, respectively. Some examples are considered in Sec. 2.2.1. The degree of the polynomials occurring in the biinvariant metric of the coset is then computed in Sec. 3.

Sec. 4 presents three Tables summarizing the reasonings and the main results of this investigation.

As an application, in Sec. 5 we consider the construction of the coset representative of non compact Riemannian symmetric manifolds, namely of symmetric scalar manifolds occurring in Maxwell-Einstein theories of gravity in various Lorentzian space-dimensions, possibly endowed with local supersymmetry. Our results are presented in a number of Tables. As respectively analyzed in Secs. 5.1 and 5.2, for magic supergravity theories our results are consistent with the Tits-Satake projection of symmetric spaces, whereas for theories related to split normed algebras (such as maximal supergravity), we find intriguing connections with the 1-form potential representations of the electric-magnetic (U-)duality group. In Sec. 5.3 we also comment on the relation between our results and the axionic U-duality generators related to five dimensions, exhibiting the universal degree of nilpotency 4.

Five Appendices conclude the paper. In Apps. A and B, some basic facts on the Racah-Casimir polynomials of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and on the semispin groups are recalled. Then, in Apps. C, D and E

the inverse Cartan matrices, the Dynkin diagrams and the Satake-type vectors of simple Lie algebras are reported.

2. Iwasawa Nilpotency Degrees in a given Representation

Let us consider a *simple* Lie group G of rank l. The corresponding root lattice Λ_R is generated by l simple roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$, and it is a sub-lattice[1] of the weight lattice Λ_W, which is the integer lattice generated by the fundamental weights $\mu^1, \ldots, \mu^l$. The simple roots define a real space $\mathbb{R}^l = \Lambda_R \otimes \mathbb{R}$, naturally endowed with a positive definite scalar product $(|)$, inherited from the Killing form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. The fundamental weights are univocally related to the simple roots by

$$\langle \mu^i | \alpha_j \rangle := 2\frac{(\mu^i|\alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j|\alpha_j)} = \delta^i_j. \tag{2.1}$$

The set of dominant weights is defined as the intersection between the weight lattice and the closure of the convex cone generated by the fundamental weights

$$\Lambda^+_W := \{ m_1\mu^1 + \ldots + m_l\mu^l \mid m_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \}. \tag{2.2}$$

The dominant weights are in one-to-one correspondence with the irreducible representations (irreps.) of $\mathfrak{g}$, since each dominant weight is the maximal weight of an (unique up to isomorphisms) irrep., and all irreps. are of this kind. In particular, all irreps. can be obtained by G -covariantly branching the tensor products of the representations associated to the fundamental weights (thus explaining the name *fundamental* [2]). The representations associated to the fundamental weights μ_i are called fundamental representations $V(\mu_i)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, l)$; they are reported in App. D for all simple Lie groups.

Now, let us consider an irrep. $V(\mu_M)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to any maximal weight $\mu_M = m_1\mu^1 + \ldots + m_l\mu^l$. In such a representation, one can fix a basis of matrices h_i for the (image under the representation map of a) Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. To any simple root α_j $(j = 1, ..., l)$ it corresponds a matrix $\lambda_{\alpha_j} \in End(V(\mu_M))$, the so-called *root* matrix, which behaves as the raising operator by α_j: if v is a weight vector with weight μ, then either $\lambda_{\alpha_j} v$ is zero or it is a weight vector with weight $\mu + \alpha_j$.
It is clear from this, that each root matrix is nilpotent of some order, and that, in particular, the linear span $\mathfrak{n}$ of positive root matrices (that are root matrices associated to positive roots) is made of nilpotent elements.

We are interested in determining the degree of nilpotency of the generic element in $\mathfrak{n}$ or in a suitable proper subspace, related to certain Iwasawa symmetric constructions, in any given representation. Let us first discuss the case of the symmetric spaces associated to the split real forms.

2.1. Iwasawa Polynomials for the Split Real Form.

The maximally non compact (*split*) form of a simple Lie group G (of rank l) is the unique real form having l non compact Cartan generators, spanning the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$. Its *maximal compact subgroup* (*mcs*) H has dimension $h = (\dim(G) - l)/2$, and it is the smallest maximal subgroup symmetrically embedded in G.

---

[1] In general, Λ_R is a proper sub-lattice of Λ_W, satisfying $\Lambda_W/\Lambda_R \simeq Z$, where Z is the center of the covering group of G. For center-free groups, such as G_2, F_4, E_8, the two lattices Λ_R and Λ_W do coincide.

[2] Often, in the physical literature the name "fundamental representation" is reserved to the non-trivial, smallest (fundamental) irrep. For example, for the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebras, all fundamental representations, and then all representations, can be obtained from the external powers of the smallest one: $V(\mu^k) = \wedge^k V(\mu^1)$.

This can justify the physical notation. However this is not true for all cases. For example, for $\mathfrak{so}(2m-1)$ all but one fundamental irreps. can be obtained from the smallest one, and all but two can be obtained for $\mathfrak{so}(2m)$. Indeed, the spinor representations must be constructed in an independent way, and in this sense are not "less fundamental" than the smallest one.

Let us consider the Iwasawa construction [38] of the non compact, irreducible, Riemannian, (globally) *symmetric* space[3]

$$M := \frac{G}{H}, \tag{2.3}$$

which has rank $\mathbf{r} = l$, and (real) dimension $(\dim(G) + l)/2$. It is here worth remarking that, even though in the split cases the rank $\mathbf{r}$ of the quotient is the same as the rank l of the group, we will use $\mathbf{r}$ in place of l wherever the rank of the manifold is relevant. This is in order to avoid confusion, and it will make the generalization beyond the split case clearer.

Let us work with any irreducible representation $V(\mu_M)$. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_{\mathbf{r}}$ be a basis of non compact generators for the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$, and let us fix any choice of *positive* roots with respect to $\mathfrak{C}$. These are exactly h, denoted by α_a, $a = 1, \ldots, h$, with λ_{α_a} being the corresponding eigenmatrices (named root matrices in the treatment above). Now, the coset representative $\mathcal{M}$ of the symmetric space M (2.3) can be parameterized in terms of the parameters $y_1, \ldots, y_{\mathbf{r}}$ (coordinates of the maximal non–compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$) and $x_1, \ldots, x_h$ (coordinates pertaining to the h nilpotent eigenmatrices λ_{α_a}'s) as follows[4]:

$$\mathcal{M}[\vec{y}, \vec{x}] = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{r}} y^i c_i\right) \exp\left(\sum_{a=1}^{h} x^a \lambda_{\alpha_a}\right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\mathbf{r}} \exp\left(y^i c_i\right)\right) \exp\left(\sum_{a=1}^{h} x^a \lambda_{\alpha_a}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

The generators c_i can be chosen so that $\exp(iy^j c_j)$ is periodic. Note that the number $\mathbf{I} = h$ of Iwasawa (nilpotent) generators of the NISS M (2.3) generally satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(M) = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{I}$ (*cfr.* Table 2), but in this very case also satisfies $\mathbf{I} = (\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - l)/2$. The first factor on the r.h.s. of (2.4) is the product of $\mathbf{r}$ exponentials of the y_i-coordinates, whereas, by virtue of the nilpotency properties of $\mathfrak{n}$ discussed above, the second factor is a polynomial in the x^a's, of which we want to determine the degree.
In order to achieve this, let us consider the Taylor expansion:

$$\exp\left(\sum_{a=1}^{h} x^a \lambda_{\alpha_a}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{h} x^a \lambda_{\alpha_a}\right)^n, \tag{2.5}$$

in which the terms of degree n are given by

$$M_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d_1+\ldots+d_h=n,\ d_i \geq 0} \frac{(x^1)^{d_1}}{d_1!} \cdots \frac{(x^h)^{d_h}}{d_h!} \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma_1} \cdots \lambda_{\sigma_n}, \tag{2.6}$$

where σ are the permutations of $\{1^{\times d_1}, \ldots, h^{\times d_h}\} \in \mathbb{N}^n$.
Our problem is to determine the largest n such that $M_n \neq 0$, whereas $M_{n+1} = 0$. The answer for the case of the adjoint representation can be found in [34], proposition 5.4:
Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ be the simple roots. All other positive roots are expressed in terms of these as linear combinations with non-negative integer coefficients. In particular, there exists a longest root, whose coefficient are all maximal:

$$\alpha_L = n_1 \alpha_1 + \ldots + n_l \alpha_l. \tag{2.7}$$

Set

$$\mathbf{q} := n_1 + \ldots + n_l. \tag{2.8}$$

Then, the proposition of Kostant states that the maximal nilpotency of the elements of $\mathfrak{n}$ is $2\mathbf{q}+1$, or equivalently, the maximal polynomial degree is $2\mathbf{q}$. In particular, this is reached iff all the coefficients of the simple roots are non vanishing.

[3]For a review on irreducible, Riemannian, globally symmetric (IRGS) spaces in supergravity, see *e.g.* [39].
[4]This (total) Iwasawa parametrization is usually named *"standard"* parametrization; it is used *e.g.* in [40].

Indeed, for all simple groups, $\mathbf{q}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{q} = j_l = C_G - 1, \tag{2.9}$$

where C_G is the *Coxeter number* of G, and j_l is the *maximal* spin of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_P$-irreps. into which the adjoint irrep. $\mathbf{Adj}$ of G branches under the maximal embedding

$$G \supset SU(2)_P; \tag{2.10}$$

$$\mathbf{Adj}\,(G) = \sum_{A=1}^{l} (\mathbf{2j}_A + \mathbf{1}) = \sum_{A=1}^{l} \mathbf{S}_{j_A},\ j_1 = 1 < .... < j_l. \tag{2.11}$$

$\mathbf{S}_{j_A}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_P$-irrep. of spin $s = j_A$ of the unique *principal* $SU(2)_P$ embedded in G (*cfr.* App. A).

Let us now show how the Kostant proposition works and how it can be generalized to any other representation. A generic element $x \in \mathfrak{n}$ will take the form

$$x = x^1\lambda_1 + \ldots + x^h\lambda_h,$$

where λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, h$ are all the positive root matrices. With *generic* we mean that the coefficients corresponding to the simple roots are all non vanishing. Our aim is to compute the maximal power d such that $x^d \neq 0$ but $x^{d+1} = 0$. To do this we can compute the matrix elements of x^d w.r.t. some basis of the representation vector space V. A particularly convenient basis is the one given by a choice of weight vectors. If $v \in V_\mu$ corresponds to the weight μ, then

$$xv \in V_{\mu+\alpha_1} + \ldots + V_{\mu+\alpha_h},$$

where $V_{\mu+\alpha_i} = 0$ if $\mu+\alpha_i$ is not a weight of the given representation. It is then clear that by repeatedly acting with x one gets

$$x^k v \in \bigoplus_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} V_{\mu+\alpha_{i_1}+\ldots+\alpha_{i_k}}.$$

Since the representation is characterized by a highest weight μ_M, for k large enough, all $V_{\mu+\alpha_{i_1}+\ldots\alpha_{i_k}}$ will vanish. The matrix corresponding to x^k vanishes if $x^k v = 0$ for any weight vector v. Now, among all the weights there exists also a lowest weight μ_m, with the property that $\mu_m - \alpha_i$ is not a weight if α_i is a positive root, and the corresponding eigenspace is one dimensional. Let v_m be the corresponding eigenvector in the fixed basis. It follows immediately from the properties of the weight system that if $x^k v_m = 0$ then $x^k v = 0$ for any other weight vector v. Thus we can work only on v_m to check nilpotency.

Now, the action of x on v_m is a combination of shifting of v_m by positive roots (in the sense discussed above). So, we can look at the repeated multiplication by x as a combination of paths in the set of weights, starting by v_m and adding a positive root at each step (in all different possible ways). A path will stop when the addition of a further positive root will bring it out of the the set of weights. This is equivalent to saying that all the paths will stop at the highest weight. Note that at a given step x^k, it may happen that one or more paths, but not all, stop. We call k the length of the path. The corresponding term will be given by[5] v_M with coefficient a monomial of degree k in the x^i. At the next step the corresponding term will be sent to zero, but x^{k+1} will not vanish. It follows that the degree d we are looking for corresponds to the step d for which all the surviving paths (eventually only one) reach the highest weight. Thus, d is the maximal possible length of a path from the lowest weight to the highest one.

Since a positive root is a combination of simple roots with integer non negative coefficients, any

[5] v_M is the eigenvector in the basis corresponding to the maximal weight μ_M.

maximal path can be obtained by using only simple roots to realize each step. Thus, we immediately arrive to the following conclusion: setting

$$\mu_M - \mu_m = \sum_{i=1}^{l} q_i \alpha_i, \tag{2.12}$$

where α_i, $i = 1, \dots, l$ are the simple roots, and q_i are positive integer coefficients, the length of a maximal path is

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{l} q_i. \tag{2.13}$$

2.1.1. *Computation of the Degree d.* We now state the following

Proposition 1. *Let Λ a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra of rank l, and α_i, $i = 1, \dots, l$ a choice of simple roots. Let (ρ, V) the irreducible representation of Λ associated to the highest weight μ_M. Set*

$$\mu_M = \sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i \alpha_i. \tag{2.14}$$

Then

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2. \tag{2.15}$$

Moreover, assume $x = \sum_{i=1}^{l} x^i \alpha_i$ to be generic. Then $\exp x$ is a polynomial of degree $d = 2s$ in the x^i.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ the Cartan subalgebra. Since the simple roots form a basis of $\mathfrak{C}^*$, the decomposition (2.14) is always possible and unique, with r_i non negative rational coefficients. Let $\mu_m \in \mathfrak{C}^*$ the minimal weight. We know that there exist positive integer coefficients q_i such that (2.12) holds.

Now, from the definition of μ_m, there exist non negative coefficients $\tilde{r}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, l$ such that

$$\mu_m = -\sum_{i=1}^{l} \tilde{r}_i \alpha_i. \tag{2.16}$$

Inserting this into (2.12), we see that $r_i + \tilde{r}_i = q_i$ is an integer and

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (r_i + \tilde{r}_i). \tag{2.17}$$

Since $\{-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_l\}$ is a good candidate for a fundamental root system, we know that there exists an element w in the Weyl reflection group and a permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_l$ such that $-\alpha_i = w(\alpha_{\sigma(i)})$. In particular $\mu_m = w(\mu_M)$. Indeed $w(\mu_M)$ is a weight. Assuming it is not the minimal weight, it would exist at least a simple root α_i such that $w(\mu_M) - \alpha_i = w(\mu_M + \alpha_{\sigma(i)})$ is also a weight. Then, $\mu_M + \alpha_{\sigma(i)}$ would be also a weight, thus contradicting the maximality of μ_M.
Applying this to (2.16) we get $\tilde{r}_i = r_{\sigma(i)}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \tilde{r}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{l} r_{\sigma(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i, \tag{2.18}$$

which from (2.17) implies

$$d = 2\sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i. \tag{2.19}$$

□

Note that if x was not generic, then some simple root would not enter in constructing the path and the degree of the polynomial would turn out to be lower. We are going to discuss this point in the next subsection. Note also that we have assumed for the algebra to be complex. However the proposition holds true also for the split form. Indeed, in the split case all the root matrices are in the real algebra and we do not need to complexify in order to proceed with the proof.
Using the proposition and the results in [34], we see that s is the spin of the $SL(2)_P$ principal subgroup of Λ in the given representation[6]. In order to compute d for the given representation, it is sufficient to determine the degrees $d^i = 2s^i$ associated to the fundamental weights μ^i. From the proof of the proposition it is clear that if $\mu_M = \sum_i m_i \mu^i$ for non negative integers m_i, then

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i s^i.$$

Note that the degree d coincides with the *level* of μ_m, which is the number of steps necessary to reach μ_m by acting on μ_M with the negative of the simple roots. These have been computed for all fundamental representations and simple groups in Table 10 of [41]. It is however instructive and useful for the next application to see how they can be obtained.

Set

$$\mu^i = \sum_{j=1}^{l} r^{ij}\alpha_j. \tag{2.20}$$

By definition of fundamental weights

$$\delta^i_k = \langle \mu^i | \alpha_k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{l} r^{ij} \langle \alpha_j | \alpha_k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{l} r^{ij} C_{jk}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $\boldsymbol{C} = \{C_{jk}\}$ is the Cartan matrix. Thus, we see that

$$r^{ij} = C^{ij} \equiv (\boldsymbol{C}^{-1})^{ij}, \tag{2.22}$$

are given by the inverse of the Cartan matrix.
The principal spin associated to the representation $V(\mu^i)$ is then

$$s^i = \sum_{j=1}^{l} C^{ij}. \tag{2.23}$$

A convenient way to express this result is as follows. Let $\bar{s}_\Lambda$ be the column vector whose entries are the principal spins s^i of the fundamental representations of Λ, and let $\bar{\varepsilon}$ the column vector in $\mathbb{R}^l$ with all entries equal to 1. Then

$$\bar{s}_\Lambda = \boldsymbol{C}_\Lambda^{-1}\bar{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.24}$$

where we have specified the dependence of the Cartan matrix from Λ. $2\bar{s}_\Lambda = R^t_\Lambda$ is the transpose of the level vectors R_Λ given in Table 10 of [41].

[6]More precisely s is the highest spin representation in the direct decomposition of (ρ, V) under $SL(2)_P$.

2.2. **Iwasawa Polynomials for the other non compact, Real Forms.** The construction we have developed up to now is valid for the Iwasawa decomposition associated to the split real form. For a generic real form not all the simple roots enter in the Iwasawa parametrization, so that the expected degree for the Iwasawa polynomials is lower than the one previously computed. We thus need to adapt our analysis to such general cases.

Therefore, let us consider any *non-split*, real (non compact) form of G, where G is a Lie group such that $Lie(G) = \Lambda$. In this case

$$\mathbf{r} := \text{rank}(G/H) < \text{rank}(G) = l, \tag{2.25}$$

so that the largest possible intersection $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ between the non compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ and the maximal Cartan subalgebra $\mathbf{C}$ of G has dimension $\mathbf{r} < l$. Thus, $\mathbf{C}$ can be chosen as follows:

$$\mathbf{C} := \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}, \qquad \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{p}, \qquad \text{dim}\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h},\ \text{dim}\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{h} = l - \mathbf{r}, \tag{2.26}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ is the orthogonal complement (in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G) of the maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $H = mcs\,(G)$; in other words, $\mathfrak{p}$ denotes nothing but the generators of the coset G/H in the symmetric Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p}. \tag{2.27}$$

Note that generally $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{h}$ is not the maximal Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Let us fix a basis $k_1, \ldots, k_s$ for $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{h}$, and $h_1, \ldots, h_\mathbf{r}$ for $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ ($s+\mathbf{r} = l$). Thus, the Cartan decomposition (2.27) can be further refined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}\right), \tag{2.28}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the complement of $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$. It is here worth recalling that, as the Cartan decomposition (2.27) pertains to the maximal and *symmetric* embedding of $\mathfrak{h}$ into $\mathfrak{g}$, it holds that

$$[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}, \qquad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}, \qquad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}. \tag{2.29}$$

Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebra of the *normalizer* $\mathbf{K}$ of $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}$, *i.e.* the largest Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}] = 0$. Then (2.28) and (2.29) imply that

$$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}] = 0 \Rightarrow [\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}, \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}, \qquad [\widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}, \tag{2.30}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the complement of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$.

It is here worth pointing out a convenient characterization of the roots of the *simple*, non compact non split, real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{h}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{h}$ and commutes with $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}$, it is contained in $\mathfrak{k}$ and is necessarily a Cartan subalgebra for it. Then, it follows that

$$\text{rank}(\mathfrak{k}) = \text{dim}(\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{h}) = s. \tag{2.31}$$

One can then represent all roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ as the simultaneous eigenvalues of the operators $(ad_{k_1}, \ldots, ad_{k_s}; ad_{h_1}, \ldots, ad_{h_\mathbf{r}})$. By defining $k :=$dim$\mathbf{K}$, the eigenvectors of the roots $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h},a}$ ($a = 1, \ldots, k-s$) of $\mathfrak{k}$ are in the complexification of $\mathfrak{k}$ and thus in the kernel of ad_{h_i} ($i = 1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}$) : as a consequence, the last $\mathbf{r}$ components of the string of simultaneous eigenvalues of $(ad_{k_1}, \ldots, ad_{k_s}; ad_{h_1}, \ldots, ad_{h_\mathbf{r}})$ are zero. Actually, the roots $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h},a}$ are all the non-vanishing roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with this property: all the other ones are characterized by (*at least* some) non-vanishing components among the last r ones in the string of simultaneous eigenvalues of $(ad_{k_1}, \ldots, ad_{k_s}; ad_{h_1}, \ldots, ad_{h_\mathbf{r}})$. We will call the corresponding roots $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p},b}$ ($b = 1, \ldots, 2(h-k)$). Indeed, these correspond to the non-vanishing roots of the h_i, whose number, by (2.30), is $h - k$.

Thus, the roots can be separated into the $\alpha_\mathfrak{p}$ and $\alpha_\mathfrak{h}$ roots. In realizing the quotient only the first

ones survive, the last ones being projected to zero roots. As usual, one can divide the roots Rad in positive ones Rad^+ and negative ones Rad^-, namely:

$$Rad = Rad^+ \oplus Rad^-. \tag{2.32}$$

Correspondingly, this determines a decomposition of the root system associated to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of the symmetric coset G/H:

$$Rad_{\mathfrak{p}} = Rad^+_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus Rad^-_{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{2.33}$$

While in the split case $Rad_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a reduced lattice system, in the non-split case this is generally not true anymore, and generically each root α is characterized by a multiplicity $m_\alpha \geq 1$; moreover, given a root α, it can happen that 2α or $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$ is also a root.

All the root systems $\Lambda_{G/H}$ associated to irreducible symmetric spaces G/H have been classified by Araki [42]. The split forms are exactly the ones which satisfy $\Lambda_{G/H} = R_G$, where R_G is the root system of G itself. For a generic non compact real form of G, $\Lambda_{G/H}$ is not reduced, but it contains a maximal subsystem of roots (its *reduction*), which correspond to a root system of simple kind (but in general with non-trivial multiplicities). The complement of the reduction of a non-reduced $\Lambda_{G/H}$ possibly consists of further roots which are twice the reduced ones (they are dubbed *double* roots).

Now we can extend our results to the given real form. In this case the nilpotent elements are of the form $x = \sum_i x^i \lambda_i$, where the sum is extended over all root matrices corresponding to the positive roots of the lattice associated to the quotient symmetric manifold. Thus, not all the simple roots matrices will participate to the Iwasawa construction, but only the ones corresponding to roots of $Lie(G)$ that are not sent to zero in the projection on the quotient. As a consequence, in order to compute the degree of the corresponding Iwasawa polynomials, we have to project (2.12) on the quotient.[7] If we call π the projection, we get

$$\pi(\mu_M) - \pi(\mu_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{r}} q_{j_i} \pi(\alpha_{j_i}), \tag{2.34}$$

where α_{j_i} are the roots that are not in the kernel of π. We can then proceed exactly as in the proof of the previous proposition to get for the degree of the Iwasawa polynomial

$$d = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{r}} r_{j_i}. \tag{2.35}$$

Again, it is sufficient to compute only the spins associated to the fundamental representations, which as a consequence are

$$d^i = \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbf{r}} C^{ij_k}. \tag{2.36}$$

Now, it is easy to determine which roots are projected into zero and which not. Indeed, to each real form, or, equivalently, to each non compact Irreducible Symmetric Space (NISS), it is associated a Satake diagram, indicating as black dots the simple roots in the kernel of π. A complete list of Satake diagrams can be found in [42]. Let us call x of generic type in the Iwasawa nilpotent in the representation (ρ, V) if

$$x = \sum x^i \lambda_i, \tag{2.37}$$

[7] Note that a maximal weight is again maximal in the non reduced lattice after projection, but it is in general not unique.

where the sum is extended to all positive quotient roots, and the coefficients corresponding to simple roots are all non vanishing. Thus, we have proved the following proposition:

Proposition 2. *Let Λ be a complex simple Lie algebra of rank l and Λ^T be its real form corresponding to a given symmetric space of type T and rank* **r** *(as listed in* [43]*). Consider the associated Satake diagram and let $\bar{\varepsilon}^T$ the column vector in $\mathbb{R}^l$ with entry 1 if corresponding to a white dot and zero otherwise (Satake vector), and let $\{\bar{e}_i\}_{i=1}^l$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^l$. Let x be of generic type in the corresponding Iwasawa nilpotent in the representation $V(\mu^i)$, $(i = 1, \ldots, l)$. Then*

$$P(x) = \exp(x) \tag{2.38}$$

is a polynomial of degree $d^i_{\Lambda^T} = 2s^i_{\Lambda^T}$, where

$$s^i_{\Lambda^T} = \bar{e}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{C}^{-1} \bar{\varepsilon}^T. \tag{2.39}$$

It should be pointed out that the same construction can be extended to reducible representations and to semi-simple groups. In these cases the maximal polynomial degree will be determined by the maximal spin among all sub representations or among the simple factors, respectively.

A list of the inverse Cartan matrices can be found in appendix C, whereas all the Satake vectors are listed in appendix E.

2.2.1. *Some Examples.* In order to illustrate how the degree of nilpotency depends on the G-representation of the coset representative $\mathcal{M}$, we consider the simplest case provided by the rank-1 symmetric space

$$\frac{G}{H} = \frac{SL(2,\mathbb{R})}{SO(2)}, \tag{2.40}$$

which corresponds to setting $n = 1$ in the first row of Tables 1 and 3. The result $d = 1$ pertains to the coset representative in the fundamental irrep. **2** (spin $s = 1/2$) of $G_{nc} = SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, with a 2×2 coset representative $\mathcal{M}$; this case is relevant both to four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ *"pure"* supergravity as well as to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity *minimally coupled* to one Abelian vector multiplet (this latter theory can indeed be obtained from the former by a consistent truncation of graviphotons and gravitinos), and it has been recently reconsidered in Sec. 5 of [44].

On the other hand, the coset (2.40) can also be considered as the scalar manifold of the so-called T^3 model of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ Maxwell-Einstein supergravity, in which the unique Abelian vector multiplet is coupled non-minimally, but rather through a cubic holomorphic prepotential $\mathcal{F} = T^3$, to the gravity multiplet. In this case, the relevant irrep. of $G_{nc} = SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is the **4** (spin $s = 3/2$), and thus the coset representative $\mathcal{M}$ is a 4×4 matrix. Since the irrep. **4** has weight $3\lambda_1$, from the reasoning above one obtains that the corresponding maximal degree is three times the fundamental one, and thus

$$\text{in } \mathbf{4} \text{ of } SL(2,\mathbb{R}) : d = 3. \tag{2.41}$$

The result (2.41) on the degree of nilpotency $d + 1 = 4$ of the unique Iwasawa generator is consistent with the treatment recently given in [44]; indeed, for the T^3 model, which uplifts to five-dimensional minimal *"pure"* supergravity, the (partial) axionic Iwasawa construction exploited in [44] (in particular, *cfr.* Sec. 2 and App. B of [44]) is actually a total Iwasawa construction.

For any $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$-irrep. of weight $m\lambda_1$ (and thus spin $s = m/2$), the corresponding maximal Iwasawa degree concerning the IRGS (2.40) reads $d_S = 2s = m$, and thus the corresponding Iwasawa nilpotency degree is nothing but $m + 1$.

The negative constant scalar curvature of (2.40) for the $s = 1/2$ and $s = 3/2$ cases respectively is $R = -2$ and $R = -2/3$ (corresponding to $m = 1$ and $m = 3$), and they are the unique values for which this symmetric Kähler coset is a *special* manifold [5].

Considering IRGS relevant as scalar manifolds in supergravity theories (see *e.g.* [39] for a comprehensive review), one should consider only a few other *non-fundamental* irreps., such as the rank-3 antisymmetric skew-traceless $\mathbf{14}'$ of $Sp\,(6,\mathbb{R})$.

Regarding the coset manifold $\frac{SL(2,\mathbb{R})}{SO(2)}$, another remark is in order. As it is discussed in the next Section 3, a further quantity that is interesting is the invariant metric tensor. Then it can be seen immediately that for $\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)}$ the degree d_g of the metric vanishes: $d_g = 0$. This is a consequence of the fact that in this case the metric does not depend on the axions and hence on the nilpotent part of the Iwasawa decomposition. In other words, the metric of the upper half plane depends only on the imaginary part $\mathrm{Im}(z)$ of the complex coordinate $z = \mathrm{Re}(z) + i\ \mathrm{Im}(z)$. For these results, compare the values $d_g = 0$ in the first row for $n = 1$ and in the third row of Table 4.

3. The Degree d_g of Metric Polynomials

There is a second problem we are interested in. Let us consider a NISS M. It can be realized in a given representation (ρ, V) by means of the Iwasawa parametrization, which, following our previous notations, can be formally written as

$$M = \exp(y)\exp(x), \tag{3.1}$$

where $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{r}} y^i c_i$, where c_i, $i = 1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p}$, and $x = \sum_i x^i \lambda_i$ is of generic type in the Iwasawa nilpotent $\mathfrak{n}$ in the representation (ρ, V), apart from positive codimension submanifolds. Note that $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is a (non simple) subalgebra so that the Lie algebra valued right-invariant one form

$$J_M := dMM^{-1} \tag{3.2}$$

again lies in $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. From this, one obtains the invariant metric tensor g_{ij} on M in the standard way. In particular, J_M will be polynomial in x of a given degree d, so that the metric will be polynomial of degree $2d$. The aim of this section is to determine d.
To this end, let us first note that,

$$J_M = d\exp(y)\ \exp(-y) + Ad_{\exp(y)}(d\exp(x)\ \exp(-x)). \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\tilde{J}(x) := d\exp(x)\ \exp(-x)$ lies in $\mathfrak{n}$, on which $Ad_{\exp(y)}$ acts diagonally, the polynomial part comes only from $\tilde{J}(x)$. Now

$$\tilde{J}(x) = \sum_i dx^i \int_0^1 Ad_{\exp(vx)}(\lambda_i)dv, \tag{3.4}$$

from which we can easily read the degree of $\tilde{J}(x)$. Indeed, for a fixed i, $Ad_{\exp(vx)} = \exp(vad_x)$ acts on λ_i in the same way discussed in the previous section: λ_i is the root matrix corresponding to the positive root α_i; the action of ad_x changes λ_i to a combination of root matrices corresponding to roots of the form $\alpha_i + \alpha_j$, with α_j positive, and so on until reaching the highest root. Thus we can follow exactly the same reasoning as in the previous section: the main point is that it does not matter what representation we are starting from, as it is always the adjoint representation which is acting in this case. What is relevant is only the type of the NISS. For example, if we work with split form, then we have to work with the highest root, expressed in terms of the simple roots as

$$\alpha_H = \sum_{i=1}^{l} n^i \alpha_i. \tag{3.5}$$

Since we construct the paths by starting from a positive root λ_i, the longest paths will be obtained by adding simple roots to a starting simple root. The maximal possible length of a path will then be $d = \sum_{i=1}^{l} n^i - 1 = C_G - 2$.

If we work with a generic real form, then, as before, we will need to sum only over the coefficients n_{j_i} corresponding to the roots that are not in the kernel of the projector π: $d = \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{j_i}$. We thus arrive at the following result:

Proposition 3. *Let $M = G/H$ a NISS of type T and $\mathbf{g}$ the corresponding positive definite biinvariant metric induced by the Killing form. Let x the coordinates in the nilpotent part of the standard Iwasawa parametrization of M. Then $\mathbf{g}$ is a polynomial function of x of degree*

$$d_g = 2(s_{ad} - 1),$$

where s_{ad} is the spin of the principal $SL(2)_P$ in the adjoint representation. If $\bar{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_l)$ are the coordinates of the highest roots w.r.t. the simple roots, then

$$d_g = 2(\bar{n} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}^T - 1).$$

Let us notice that, again, this result is easily generalized to the case of a reducible representation or to semisimple groups: the degree is the maximum degree among the irreducible or simple factors, respectively.

4. Summary of Results

The results of the above reasonings are collected in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

In the first column of Table 1, consistent with the notation *e.g.* in [42, 43], we indicate the label for the non compact, real form G_{nc} of G, which in turn is given in the second column. $H = mcs(G_{nc})$ is given, along with possible discrete factors, in the third column. Then, following the classification of [42], the fourth column specifies the type of the root systems $\Lambda_{G/H}$ associated to irreducible symmetric space G/H. After [43], the fifth column provides the coefficients $(n_1, ..., n_{\mathbf{r}})$ of the longest root α_L (2.7). In the sixth column, the multiplicities for the roots and the double roots are given [42]: these are just a number for simply-laced root systems; on the other hand, in non-simply-laced systems they are given as a pair, in which the first and second entry give respectively the multiplicity of the long and short root.

In Table 2 we report the rank $\mathbf{r}$ of the NISS $G_{nc}/mcs\,(G_{nc})$, along with the number $\mathbf{I}$ of the corresponding nilpotent (*i.e.*, Iwasawa) generators; the resulting (real) dimension dim of the NISS is nothing but $\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{r}$, where $\mathbf{r}$ is the rank of G_{nc}.; finally, in the fifth column the *character* [38] $\chi := nc - c$ of the coset is reported, where "nc" and "c" denote respectively the number of non compact resp. compact generators of the NISS itself.

In Table 3 we report the degrees of the Iwasawa polynomials associated to each NISS. In the first column we report G_{nc}, in the second column the rank l of G_{nc}, in column three we give the vector of degrees of Iwasawa polynomials associated to the fundamental representations, and in the last column the degree d_g of the polynomial part in the biinvariant metric $\mathbf{g}$.
Note that from the fundamental degrees reported in the second column of Table 3, the nilpotency degree of the Iwasawa polynomials can be obtained by simply adding one to each entry.

T	G_{nc}	H	$\Lambda_{G/H}$	$(n_1, ..., n_{\mathbf{r}})$	$\vec{m}_\lambda$, $\vec{m}_{2\lambda}$
AI	$SL(n+1,\mathbb{R})$	$SO(n+1)$	$A_n\,(n \geq 1)$	(1,1,. . . ,1)	(1), (0)
AII	$SU^*(2k)$	$USp(2k)$	$A_{k-1}\,(k > 1)$	(1,1,. . . ,1)	(4), (0)
$\mathrm{AIII_a}$	$SU(p,q)$	$S(U(p) \times U(q))$	$B_p\,(1 < p < q)$	(2,2,. . . ,2)	$2(1, q-p), (0,1)$
$\mathrm{AIII_b}$	$SU(p,p)$	$S(U(p) \times U(p))$	$C_p\,(p > 1)$	$(2, 2, \ldots, 2, 1)$	(1,2), (0,0)
AIV	$SU(1,n)$	$S(U(1) \times U(n))$	A_1	(2)	(2n-2), (1)
$\mathrm{BI_a}$	$SO(n,n+1)$	$SO(n) \times SO(n+1)$	$B_n\,(n \geq 2)$	(1,2,. . . ,2)	(1,1), (0,0)
$\mathrm{BI_b}$	$SO(p,q)$	$SO(p) \times SO(q)$	$B_p\,(1 < p < n)$	(1,2,. . . ,2)	(1,2(n-p)+1), (0,0)
BII	$SO(1,2n)$	$SO(2n)$	A_1	(1)	(2n-1), (0)
CI	$Sp(2n,\mathbb{R})$	$U(n)$	$C_n\,(n \geq 3)$	(2,2,. . . ,2,1)	(1,1), (0,0)
CII_a	$USp(2p,2q)$	$USp(2p) \times USp(2q)$	$B_p\,(1 \leq p \leq (n-1)/2)$	(2,2,. . . ,2)	(4,4n-8p), (0,3)
CII_b	$USp(2k,2k)$	$USp(2k) \times USp(2k)$	C_k	$(2, 2, \ldots, 2, 1)$	(3,4), (0,0)
DI_a	$SO(n,n)$	$SO(n) \times SO(n)$	$D_n\,(n > 3)$	(1,2,. . . ,2,1,1)	(1), (0)
DI_b	$SO(n-1,n+1)$	$SO(n-1) \times SO(n+1)$	$B_{n-1}\,(n > 2)$	(1,2,. . . ,2)	(1,2), (0,0)
DI_c	$SO(p,q)$	$SO(p) \times SO(q)$	$B_p\,(1 < p < n-1)$	(1,2,. . . ,2)	(1,2(n-p)), (0,0)
DII	$SO(1,2n-1)$	$SO(2n-1)$	A_1	(1)	(2n-2), (0)
$DIII_a$	$SO^*(4k+2)$	$U(2k+1)$	$B_k\,(k \geq 2)$	(2,2,. . . ,2)	(4,4), (0,1)
$DIII_b$	$SO^*(4k)$	$U(2k)$	$C_k\,(k \geq 2)$	(2,2,. . . ,2,1)	(1,4), (0,0)
G	$G_{2(2)}$	$SO(4)/\mathbb{Z}_2$	G_2	(3,2)	(1,1), (0,0)
FI	$F_{4(4)}$	$USp(6) \times USp(2)$	F_4	(2,3,4,2)	(1,1), (0,0)
FII	$F_{4(-20)}$	$SO(9)$	A_1	(2)	(8), (7)
EI	$E_{6(6)}$	$USp(8)/\mathbb{Z}_2$	E_6	(1,2,2,3,2,1)	(1),(0)
EII	$E_{6(2)}$	$(USp(2) \times SU(6))/\mathbb{Z}_2$	F_4	(2,3,4,2)	(1,2), (0,0)
EIII	$E_{6(-14)}$	$(U(1) \times SO(10))/\mathbb{Z}_4$	B_2	(2,2)	(6,8), (0,1)
EIV	$E_{6(-26)}$	F_4	A_2	(1,1)	(8), (0)
EV	$E_{7(7)}$	$SU(8)/\mathbb{Z}_2$	E_7	(2,2,3,4,3,2,1)	(1),(0)
EVI	$E_{7(-5)}$	$(SU(2) \times SO(12))/\mathbb{Z}_2$	F_4	(2,3,4,2)	(1,4), (0,0)
EVII	$E_{7(-25)}$	$(U(1) \times E_6)/\mathbb{Z}_3$	C_3	(2,2,1)	(1,8), (0,0)
EVIII	$E_{8(8)}$	$S_s(16)$	E_8	(2,3,4,6,5,4,3,2)	(1), (0)
EIX	$E_{8(-24)}$	$(SU(2) \times E_7)/\mathbb{Z}_2$	F_4	(2,3,4,2)	(1,8), (0,0)

Table 1: In this Table, we list the main ingredients necessary to describe the Iwasawa construction of the non compact, irreducible, Riemannian, globally symmetric space G_{nc}/H. $S_s\,(16)$ denotes the semispin group of type D_8 [46] (see also appendix B). Note that, when listing the *mcs* H in the second column, the universal coverings are considered, namely $SO(3) \equiv Spin(3) \simeq SU(2)$, $USp(4) \simeq SO(5) \equiv Spin(5)$, $USp(2) \simeq SU(2)$, $SO(6) \equiv Spin(6) \simeq SU(4)$, and $SO(4) \equiv Spin(4) \simeq SU(2) \times SU(2)$. Furthermore, local isomorphisms among non compact, real forms are used throughout (*cfr. e.g.* [38]). Notice that in BI_b, $p+q = 2n+1$, in CII_a, $p+q = n$, and in DI_c, $p+q = 2n$.

G_{nc}	$\mathbf{r}$	$\mathbf{I}$	$\dim\left(G_{nc}/mcs\left(G_{nc}\right)\right)=\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{r}$	χ
$SL(n+1,\mathbb{R})$	n	$\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}-n-1$	$\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}-1$	n
$SU^{*}(2k)$	$k-1$	$2k\left(k-1\right)$	$k\left(2k-1\right)-1$	$-2k-1$
$SU(p,q)$	$\min\left(p,q\right)$	$2pq-\min\left(p,q\right)$	$2pq$	$-\left(p-q\right)^{2}+1$
$SU(p,p)$	p	$2p^{2}-p$	$2p^{2}$	1
$SU(1,n)$	1	$2n-1$	$2n$	$-n\left(n-2\right)$
$SO(n,n+1)$	n	n^{2}	$n\left(n+1\right)$	n
$SO(p,q)_{p+q=2n+1}$	$\min\left(p,q\right)$	$pq-\min\left(p,q\right)$	pq	$[(p+q)-(p-q)^{2}]/2$
$SO(1,2n)$	1	$2n-1$	$2n$	$n\left(3-2n\right)$
$Sp(2n,\mathbb{R})$	n	n^{2}	$n(n+1)$	n
$USp(2p,2q)$	$\min\left(p,q\right)$	$4pq-\min\left(p,q\right)$	$4pq$	$-[(2p+2q)+(2p-2q)^{2}]/2$
$USp(2k,2k)$	k	$k\left(4k-1\right)$	$4k^{2}$	$-2k$
$SO(n,n)$	n	$n(n-1)$	n^{2}	n
$SO(n-1,n+1)$	$n-1$	$n\left(n-1\right)$	$n^{2}-1$	$n-2$
$SO(p,q)_{p+q=2n}$	$\min\left(p,q\right)$	$pq-\min\left(p,q\right)$	pq	$[(p+q)-(p-q)^{2}]/2$
$SO(1,2n-1)$	1	$2\left(n-1\right)$	$2n-1$	$5n-2n^{2}-2$
$SO^{*}(4k+2)$	$2k+1$	$(4k+2)\left(k-1/2\right)$	$2k\left(2k+1\right)$	$-2k-1$
$SO^{*}(4k)$	$2k$	$4k\left(k-1\right)$	$2k\left(2k-1\right)$	$-2k$
$G_{2(2)}$	2	6	8	2
$F_{4(4)}$	4	24	28	4
$F_{4(-20)}$	1	15	16	-20
$E_{6(6)}$	6	36	42	6
$E_{6(2)}$	4	36	40	2
$E_{6(-14)}$	2	30	32	-14
$E_{6(-26)}$	2	24	26	-26
$E_{7(7)}$	7	63	70	7
$E_{7(-5)}$	4	60	64	-5
$E_{7(-25)}$	3	51	54	-25
$E_{8(8)}$	8	120	128	8
$E_{8(-24)}$	4	108	112	-24

Table 2: In the second column the rank $\mathbf{r}$ of the symmetric coset $G_{nc}/mcs\left(G_{nc}\right)$ is indicated, in the third column the number $\mathbf{I}$ of Iwasawa (nilpotent) generators, in the fourth column the real dimension dim of $G_{nc}/mcs\left(G_{nc}\right)$, and in the fifth column the character $\chi:=nc-c$ of G_{nc}, where "nc" and "c" denote respectively the number of non compact and of compact generators of G_{nc} itself [47]. Note that for the maximally non compact (*split*) forms, $\mathbf{r}=\chi=l$, where we recall that l is the rank of G itself; consequently, for the split forms $\mathbf{I}$ is equal to the number of positive (or of negative) roots of G. Also, we notice the peculiarity of the minimally non compact real form of E_{6}, i.e. of $E_{6(-26)}$, for which $\chi=-\dim\left(G_{nc}/mcs\left(G_{nc}\right)\right)$.

G_{nc}	l	$(d_1,\ldots,d_l)$	d_g
$SL(n+1,\mathbb{R})$	$n>0$	$(\{i(n+1-i)\}_{i=1}^{n})$	$2(n-1)$
$SU^*(2k)$	$2k-1,k>1$	$(\{2(k-i)(i-1)+k-1,2i(k-i)\}_{i=1}^{k-1},k-1)$	$2k-4$
$SU(p,q)_{1<p<q}$	$p+q-1=n$	$(\{i(2p+1-i)\}_{i=1}^{p-1},\{p(p+1)\}_{i=p}^{q},\{(2n-i)(i+p-q+1)\}_{i=q+1}^{n})$	$4p-2$
$SU(p,p)$	$2p-1,p>1$	$(\{i(2p-i)\}_{i=1}^{2p-1})$	$4p-4$
$SU(1,n)$	$n>1$	$(2,\ldots,2)$	2
$SO(n,n+1)$	$n>1$	$(\{i(2n+1-i)\}_{i=1}^{n-1},n(n+1)/2)$	$4n-4$
$SO(p,q)_{p+q=2n+1,1<p<q}$	$n=(p+q-1)/2$	$(\{i(2p+1-i)\}_{i=1}^{p},\{p(p+1)\}_{i=p+1}^{n})$	$4p-4$
$SO(1,2n)$	$n>1$	$(2,\ldots,2,1)$	0
$Sp(2n,\mathbb{R})$	$n>1$	$(\{i(2n-i)\}_{i=1}^{n})$	$4n-4$
$USp(2p,2q)_{0<p<q}$	$p+q=n=2k$	$(\{2(2i-1)(p+1)-2i^2,2i(2p-i+1)\}_{i=1}^{p},\{2p(p+1)\}_{i=p+1}^{n})$	$4p-2$
$USp(2k,2k)$	$2k,k>0$	$(\{2(2i-1)(2k-1)-2i^2+2i-1,2i(2k-i)\}_{i=1}^{k})$	$4k-4$
$SO(n,n)$	$n>2$	$(\{i(2n-1-i)\}_{i=1}^{n-2},n(n-1)/2,n(n-1)/2)$	$4n-8$
$SO(n-1,n+1)$	$n>2$	$(\{i(2n-1-i)\}_{i=1}^{n-2},n(n-1)/2,n(n-1)/2)$	$4n-8$
$SO(p,q)_{p+q=2n,1<p<q}$	$n=(p+q)/2$	$(\{2pi-i^2+i\}_{i=1}^{p-1},\{p^2+p\}_{i=p}^{n})$	$4p-4$
$SO(1,2n-1)$	$n>1$	$(2,\ldots,2,1,1)$	0
$SO^*(4k+2)$	$2k+1,k>0$	$(\{2ki-2\lfloor\frac{i}{2}\rfloor^2\}_{i=1}^{2k-1},k^2+k-1,k^2+k-1)$	$4k-2$
$SO^*(4k)$	$2k,k>1$	$(\{2ki-2\lfloor\frac{i}{2}\rfloor^2-i\}_{i=1}^{2k-2},k^2-1,k^2)$	$4k-4$
$G_{2(2)}$	2	$(10,6)$	8
$F_{4(4)}$	4	$(22,42,30,16)$	20
$F_{4(-20)}$	4	$(4,8,6,4)$	2
$E_{6(6)}$	6	$(16,30,42,30,16,22)$	20
$E_{6(2)}$	6	$(16,30,42,30,16,22)$	20
$E_{6(-14)}$	6	$(6,10,14,10,6,8)$	6
$E_{6(-26)}$	6	$(4,6,8,6,4,4)$	2
$E_{7(7)}$	7	$(34,66,96,75,52,27,49)$	32
$E_{7(-5)}$	7	$(22,42,60,46,32,16,30)$	20
$E_{7(-25)}$	7	$(10,18,26,21,16,9,13)$	8
$E_{8(8)}$	8	$(92,182,270,220,168,114,58,136)$	56
$E_{8(-24)}$	8	$(32,62,92,76,60,42,22,46)$	20

Table 3: In the second column the rank l of the group G is indicated, in the third column the vector of fundamental degrees, that are the degrees of the polynomials in the fundamental representations, and in the last column the degree of the polynomial part in the biinvariant metric $\mathbf{g}$. Recall that the nilpotency degree is given by $d+1$. Note that generally the smallest irrep. yields the smallest degree of the corresponding polynomials. All computations are based on the inverse Cartan matrices and Satake vectors listed in appendices C and E. Note that the string $(d_1,...d_l)$ is the same for the spaces DI_a and DI_b, as well as for EI and EII; as given by the treatment of [42], this is due to the fact that only white nodes in the Tits-Satake diagram do contribute to the degree d, and in these non compact, real forms there are no blackened nodes at all.
Remark: Notice that for $SO^*(4k)$, following the convention in [42], we have assumed the even roots to be the non compact ones. However, from the symmetry of the Dynkin diagram, one sees that it is possible to obtain an isomorphic coset manifold by picking the last but one root instead of the last one as the non compact root. In this case the last two entries of the third column need to be switched accordingly.

5. Application to Supergravity

As an application of the set of general results for the degrees d and d_g of the Iwasawa polynomials resulting in the standard construction of the coset representative of non compact Riemannian symmetric manifolds, we consider the *symmetric* scalar manifolds of supergravity theories in various Lorentzian space-dimensions (for a comprehensive review, see *e.g.* [39]), namely $D = 3, 4, 5, 6$. We report the corresponding results in various Tables, and we address to the corresponding captions for further comments.

Summarizing, in Table 4 we consider the special Kähler vector multiplets' scalar manifolds of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories in $D = 4$, whereas in Table 5 we deal with the quaternionic Kähler scalar manifolds [48] (obtained through the so-called c-map [49]) of the theory dimensionally reduced (along a spacelike direction) down to $D = 3$ (after dualization) [6]. Moreover, the vector multiplets' real special manifolds (obtained through the so-called R-map [50]) of the minimal theory obtained by oxidizing (*i.e.*, uplifting) to $D = 5$ Lorentzian dimension are reported in Table 6. The scalar manifolds of $\mathcal{N} > 2$–extended supergravity theories in $D = 4$ and $D = 5$ are considered in Tables 7 and 8, respectively, while the symmetric manifolds of $\mathcal{N} > 4$–extended supergravity theories in $D = 3$ are given in Table 9.

Concerning $D = 6$, for brevity's sake we will consider only minimal chiral theories. The scalar manifold of $(1, 0)$ *chiral* magic supergravity theories (based on $J_2^{\mathbb{A}} \sim \Gamma_{1,q+1}$, the Clifford algebra of $O(1, q + 1)$ [51]) is given by $\frac{SO(1,q+1)}{SO(q+1)}$ (with $q = 1, 2, 4, 8$ for $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$, respectively), with the relevant G-irrep. being the vector: $\mathbf{R}(SO(1, q + 1)) = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{2} = (1, 0, ..., 0)$ (for a discussion of $D = 6$ minimal chiral theories, see *e.g.* [52] and [53]). This formally matches the scalar manifold of the so-called *non-Jordan symmetric sequence* [54] (*cfr.* line 1 of Table 6), and thus $d = 2$ and $d_g = 0$, regardless of the value of q.

The general structures of (maximal and symmetric) group embeddings read as follows:

$$QConf\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right) \supset Conf\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R}); \tag{5.1}$$

$$Conf\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right) \supset Str_0\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right) \times SO(1, 1); \tag{5.2}$$

$$Str_0\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right) \supset Str_0\left(J_2^{\mathbb{A}}\right) \times SO(1, 1), \tag{5.3}$$

where $QConf$, $Conf$ and Str_0 respectively denote the quasi-conformal, conformal and reduced structure symmetry groups of Jordan algebras (see *e.g.* [55]). In (5.1) $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the so-called Ehlers group, related to the reduction of pure Einstein gravity to 3 dimensions, whereas in (5.2) and (5.3) $SO(1, 1)$ is the Kaluza-Klein compactification factor. Due to the properties of the Clifford algebra in certain dimensions, $Str_0\left(J_2^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, in some cases contains a commuting non-trivial compact factor $\frac{Tri(\mathbb{A})}{SO(\mathbb{A})}$, where $Tri(\mathbb{A})$ and $SO(\mathbb{A})$ denote the triality group and norm-preserving group of the normed division algebra $\mathbb{A}$ (*cfr. e.g.* [56]). Note that

$$\frac{Tri(\mathbb{A})}{SO(\mathbb{A})} = \emptyset, SO(2), SO(3), \emptyset \text{ for } \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$Str_0\left(J_2^{\mathbb{A}}\right) = SO(1, \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{A} + 1) \times \frac{Tri(\mathbb{A})}{SO(\mathbb{A})}. \tag{5.5}$$

However, due to its compactness, this extra factor do not affect the structure of the (tensor multiplets') real scalar manifold in $D = 6$.

By denoting with $G_{D,\mathbb{A}}$ the electric-magnetic (U-)duality group of the $J^{\mathbb{A}}$-based magic supergravity in D Lorentzian space-time dimensions, the supergravity interpretation of such symmetry groups is

the following:

$$QConf\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right) = G_{3,\mathbb{A}}; \tag{5.6}$$

$$Conf\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right) = G_{4,\mathbb{A}}; \tag{5.7}$$

$$Str_0\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right) = G_{5,\mathbb{A}}; \tag{5.8}$$

$$Str_0\left(J_2^{\mathbb{A}}\right) = G_{6,\mathbb{A}}. \tag{5.9}$$

In the second row of Table 6 an extrapolation to $n = 1$, which is not reported in the Table, corresponds to the $D = 5$ uplift of the so-called ST^2 model of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ Maxwell-Einstein supergravity. This is (the unique model) given by the dimensional reduction of "pure" $(1, 0)$ minimal chiral supergravity in $D = 6$. For this model the maximal degrees vanish: $d = 0$ and $d_g = 0$.

In the second row of Table 7 an extrapolation to $n = 0$, which is not reported in the Table, corresponds to "pure" $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $D = 4$ supergravity; the U-duality group is $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SU(4)$, and the relevant U-irrep. is $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{6})$. Indeed, the $U(1)$ factor of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $\mathcal{R}$-symmetry $U(4)$ is gauged by the complex (*axio-dilatonic*) scalar field of the gravity multplet, whereas the $SU(4)$ factor is not gauged, *i.e.* it is global. Concerning the non compact part of the U-duality, this theory is like the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ axio-dilatonic model (based on $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^1$, describing the *minimal coupling* of 1 vector multiplet to the gravity multiplet [7]; *cfr.* $n = 1$ in the first row of Table 4), but in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case the compact part is given by $SO(6)$ *vs.* the $U(1)$ factor in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ model. It follows that the corresponding maximal Iwasawa degrees are $d = 1$ and $d_g = 0$.

In the first row of Table 8 an extrapolation to $n = 1$, which is not reported in the Table, corresponds to "pure" $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $D = 5$ supergravity; the U-duality group is $SO(1, 1) \times SO(5)$, where $SO(5)$ is the (global) $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $\mathcal{R}$-symmetry. There is only one real scalar in the gravity multiplet, and the relevant U-rep. is $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5})$ (*cfr. e.g.* [63]). The maximal Iwasawa degrees are $d = 0$ and $d_g = 0$.

5.1. **q-Independence of d and d_g for Magic Supergravities.** From Tables 4, 5, 6 and the above observation implying $d = 2$ and $d_g = 0$ for $D = 6$, one can report the values of d and d_g for magic Maxwell-Einstein supergravities in $D = 3, 4, 5, 6$ Lorentzian space-time dimensions in Table 10.

Interestingly, for the magic Maxwell-Einstein supergravities [4], the degrees d and d_g of the Iwasawa polynomial only depend[8] on D, and not on q; this seems consistent with the fact that the corresponding homogeneous (symmetric) manifolds are in the same Tits-Satake universality class (see *e.g.* [64] for a general treatment).

Note that the second, third and fourth rows of Table 10 are the same as the ones of Günaydin-Sierra-Townsend (GST) Magic Square [4] $\mathcal{L}_3\left(\mathbb{A}_s, \mathbb{B}\right)$ (for a comprehensive treatment of 4×4 Magic Squares $\mathcal{L}_3$'s, *cfr.* [65]). On the other hand, the relation between the first rows of Table 10 and of the GST Magic Square $\mathcal{L}_3\left(\mathbb{A}_s, \mathbb{B}\right)$ can be expressed by observing that the maximal compact subgroup (*mcs*) of the symmetry groups occurring in the first row of Table 10 (namely, the $D = 6$ U-duality group $G_{6,\mathbb{A}} = Str_0\left(J_2^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$) is a (maximal symmetric) subgroup of the *mcs* of $Str_0\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, which is the group occurring in the first row of GST Magic Square $\mathcal{L}_3\left(\mathbb{A}_s, \mathbb{B}\right)$:

$$mcs\left(Str_0\left(J_2^{\mathbb{A}}\right)\right) \subset_s^{\max} mcs\left(Str_0\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right)\right). \tag{5.10}$$

Such a relation can be trivially expressed by stating that the stabilizer of $D = 6$ (tensor multiplets') real scalar manifold is maximally (and symmetrically) embedded into the (vector multiplets') real special scalar manifold of the corresponding theory obtained by dimensional reduction (along a spacelike

[8]Note that Table 10 considers all possible dimensions in which theories with 8 local supersymmetries can be defined, namely $D = 3, 4, 5, 6$.

direction) down to $D = 5$ (for some discussion on anomaly-freedom conditions of minimal chiral theories in $D = 6$, cfr. *e.g.* [52]).

5.2. **q-Dependence of d and d_g for $J^{\mathbb{A}_s}$-based Maxwell-Einstein (Super)Gravity Theories and U-Representations of 1-Form Potentials.** For theories based on $J^{\mathbb{A}_s}$ (where $\mathbb{A}_s$ denotes the *split* form of the normed algebra $\mathbb{A}$), the values of d and d_g are not q-independent, but rather they follow an interesting pattern. Essentially, the Iwasawa degree d of the scalar manifold $G_{D,\mathbb{O}_s}/mcs(G_{D,\mathbb{O}_s})$ in D dimensions (in the relevant $G_{D,\mathbb{O}_s}$-irrep.) is related to the (real) dimension of the $G_{D+1,\mathbb{O}_s}$-irrep. in which the 1-form (Abelian) potentials of maximal supergravity sit (*cfr. e.g.* [66, 67]).

While the purely D-dependent values of d and d_g for $J^{\mathbb{A}}$-based magic Maxwell-Einstein supergravities are consistent with the Tits-Satake projection for homogeneous (symmetric) manifolds [64], it is here worth observing that the non compact symmetry (U-duality) groups pertaining to $J^{\mathbb{A}_s}$-based theories are the maximally non compact (namely, *split*) forms of Lie groups. As a consequence the corresponding homogeneous spaces are not necessarily in the same Tits-Satake universality class[9].

Tables 11-13 respectively illustrate the resulting pattern for $D = 3, 4, 5$ in some detail.

For the maximally supersymmetric theory based on $\mathbb{O}_s$, the uplifts to $D > 5$ Lorentzian dimensions are known and well defined.

In $D = 6$, one can consider the following embedding in the maximal non-chiral $(2, 2)$ supergravity:

$$SO(5,5) \supset {}^{\max}_{s} SL(5,\mathbb{R}) \times SO(1,1); \tag{5.11}$$

$$\mathbf{16} = \mathbf{10}_{-1} + \mathbf{5}_{3} + \mathbf{1}_{-5}, \tag{5.12}$$

and the Iwasawa degree d of the $D = 6$ scalar manifold $G_{6,\mathbb{O}_s}/mcs\,(G_{6,\mathbb{O}_s}) = SO(5,5)/(SO(5)\times SO(5))$ in the **16** is 5, namely the (real) dimension of the irrep. **10** of the $D = 7$ U-duality group $SL(5,\mathbb{R})$, occurring in (5.11)-(5.12) (in this case, $d_g = 12$).

In $D = 7$, the relevant embedding in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity reads:

$$SL(5,\mathbb{R}) \supset {}^{\max}_{s} SL(3,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SO(1,1); \tag{5.13}$$

$$\mathbf{10} = (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{1} + \left(\mathbf{3}',\mathbf{1}\right)_{-4} + (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{6}\,, \tag{5.14}$$

and the Iwasawa degree d of the $D = 7$ scalar manifold $G_{7,\mathbb{O}_s}/mcs\,(G_{7,\mathbb{O}_s}) = SL(5,\mathbb{R})/SO(5)$ in the **10** is 6, namely the (real) dimension of the irrep. $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$ of the $D = 8$ U-duality group $SL(3,\mathbb{R})\times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ occurring in (5.13)-(5.14) (in this case, $d_g = 6$).

In $D = 8$, the relevant embedding in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity is:

$$SL(3,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \supset {}^{\max}_{s} GL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SO(1,1); \tag{5.15}$$

$$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2}) = 2\cdot(\mathbf{2}_{1} + \mathbf{1}_{-2})\,, \tag{5.16}$$

and the Iwasawa degree d of the $D = 8$ scalar manifold $G_{8,\mathbb{O}_s}/mcs\,(G_{8,\mathbb{O}_s}) = SL(3,\mathbb{R})/SO(3) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R})/SO(2)$ in the $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})$ is 2, namely the (real) dimension of the irrep. **2** of the $D = 9$ U-duality group $GL(2,\mathbb{R})$ occurring in (5.15)-(5.16).

Finally, in $D = 9$ the relevant embedding in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity is:

$$GL(2,\mathbb{R}) \supset {}^{\max}_{s} SO(1,1)_{IIA} \times SO(1,1); \tag{5.17}$$

$$\mathbf{2} = \mathbf{1}_{\alpha} + \mathbf{1}_{-\alpha}, \tag{5.18}$$

where the $SO(1,1)$-weight α in (5.18) depends on normalization, and the subscript "IIA" in the first $SO(1,1)$ in the r.h.s. of (5.17) characterizes it as the U-duality group of type IIA $D = 10$ supergravity, and distinguishes it from the second (Kaluza-Klein) $SO(1,1)$. The Iwasawa degree d of the $D = 9$ scalar manifold $G_{9,\mathbb{O}_s}/mcs\,(G_{9,\mathbb{O}_s}) = GL(2,\mathbb{R})/SO(2)$ in the **2** is 1, namely the (real) dimension of the $SO(1,1)_{IIA}$-singlet **1** occurring in (5.17)-(5.18).

[9] We are grateful to Mario Trigiante for useful discussions on this point.

As mentioned above, the representation determining the Iwasawa degree d of the scalar manifold $G_{D,\mathbb{O}_s}/mcs(G_{D,\mathbb{O}_s})$ in D dimensions (in the relevant $G_{D,\mathbb{O}_s}$-irrep.) is the $G_{D+1,\mathbb{O}_s}$-irrep. in which the 1-form (Abelian) potentials of maximal supergravity sit (*cfr. e.g.* [66, 67]). In this sense, one can understand why type IIB supergravity in $D = 10$ (along with its $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ U-duality) has not been considered : it has no 1-form potentials. As discussed in [70, 71, 66], the relevant 1-form U-irrep. can be predicted by exploiting the infinite-dimensional (Kac-Moody extended) algebra E_{11}. It would be interesting, *at least* for maximal supergravity in $D = 3, ..., 9$, to understand the matching between the relevant Iwasawa degree d of $(G_{D,\mathbb{O}_s}/mcs(G_{D,\mathbb{O}_s}))_{\mathbf{R}_D}$ and the (real) dimension of the $G_{D+1,\mathbb{O}_s}$-irrep. $\mathbf{R}_{D+1}$ in terms of E_{11}; we leave this task for future further investigations.

5.3. **Universal Nilpotency Degree of Axionic Iwasawa Generators.** Considering symmetric cosets $\frac{G_4}{H_4}$ (2.3) which are scalar manifolds of Maxwell-Einstein theories of (super)gravity in $D = 4$ (Lorentzian) dimensions, it is here worth commenting on the relation between the ("standard"; *cfr.* Footnote 4) total Iwasawa construction (2.4):

$$\mathfrak{g}_4 = \mathfrak{h}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_4, \tag{5.19}$$

exploited in the present paper, and the Iwasawa construction restricted to the *axionic* generators of the $D = 4$ U-duality group G_4, recently studied in [44]. Note that in (5.19) the sums are not direct, but they do respect the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_4$; $\mathfrak{h}_4$ denotes the Lie algebra of $H_4 = mcs\,(G_4)$, while $\mathfrak{a}_4$ and $\mathfrak{n}_4$ respectively stand for the (maximal) Abelian (Cartan) non compact sub-algebra (whose dimension equals the rank $\mathbf{r}$ of $\frac{G_4}{H_4}$; *cfr.* Table 2) and the maximal nilpotent set of nilpotent (namely, Iwasawa) generators, whose cardinality has been denoted by $\mathbf{I}$ in Table 2.

As illustrative examples, we will consider two theories : the magic exceptional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory [4], based on $J_3^{\mathbb{O}}$, and the maximal $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity, based on $J_3^{\mathbb{O}_s}$.

5.3.1. $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ *Magic Exceptional* ($J_3^{\mathbb{O}}$). As yielded by (5.19), the relevant (maximal, symmetric) embedding pertaining to the Iwasawa decomposition of the $D = 4$ U-duality group $G_4 \equiv G_{4,\mathbb{O}} = E_{7(-25)}$ reads

$$E_{7(-25)} \supset E_{6(-78)} \times U(1); \tag{5.20}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{133}}_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = \underbrace{\mathbf{78}_0 + \mathbf{1}_0}_{\mathfrak{h}_4} + \underbrace{\mathbf{27}_{-2} + \overline{\mathbf{27}}_2}_{\mathfrak{a}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_4}, \tag{5.21}$$

where $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{a}_4) = \mathrm{rank}\left(\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_{6(-78)} \times U(1)}\right) =: \mathbf{r} = 3$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{n}_4) =: \mathbf{I} = 51$. The maximal degree of the polynomial in the Iwasawa decomposition of $\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_{6(-78)} \times U(1)}$ in the $\mathbf{56}$ of $E_{7(-25)}$ is $d = 9$.

On the other hand, the Iwasawa parametrization of the axionic generators of $E_{7(-25)}$, performed in [44], considers the maximal triangular subgroup of $E_{7(-25)}$, containing the $D = 5$ U-duality group $G_5 \equiv G_{5,\mathbb{O}} = E_{6(-26)}$:

$$E_{7(-25)} \supset E_{6(-26)} \times SO(1,1)_{KK} \times_s T_{27}; \tag{5.22}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{133}}_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = \underbrace{\mathbf{78}_0}_{\mathfrak{g}_5} + \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_0}_{\mathfrak{so}(1,1)_{KK}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{27}_{-2}}_{T_{27}} + \mathbf{27}'_2, \tag{5.23}$$

where the "KK" subscript denotes the Kaluza-Klein nature of the commuting 1-dimensional factor, the subscript "s" indicates the semi-direct nature of the product, and $T_{27} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{27}$ corresponds to the 27 axionic Iwasawa generators, in one-to-one correspondence with the fifth component A_5^I of the 27 Abelian vector potentials of the corresponding theory in $D = 5$; note that (5.22) is nothing but a different, non compact form of (5.20). In this case, the maximal degree d pertaining to the set of axionic generators T_{27} of the rank-3 special Kähler symmetric coset $\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_{6(-78)} \times U(1)}$ is $d_{axionic} = 3$ [6, 44, 72, 73].

This is *universal*, namely it concerns the axionic generators of the global electric-magnetic isometries of *all* $D=4$ theories which enjoy a $D=5$ uplift, even in the case of non-symmetric nor homogeneous (vector multiplets') scalar manifolds.

At the level of the coset manifolds, (5.22) and (5.23) can be reformulated as the isometry

$$\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_{6(-78)}\times U(1)} \sim \frac{E_{6(-26)}}{F_{4(-52)}}\times SO(1,1)_{KK}\times_s T_{27}; \tag{5.24}$$

$$54 = 26+1+27, \tag{5.25}$$

where the numbers in the second line denote the real dimensions of the manifolds. For theories based on *Freudenthal triple systems* $\mathbf{F}(J_3)$ on rank-3 (simple or semi-simple) Jordan algebras J_3, (5.22) and (5.23) can be q-parametrized as follows:

$$G_{\mathbf{F}(J_3),4} \supset G_{J_3,5}\times SO(1,1)_{KK}\times_s T_{3q+3}; \tag{5.26}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{Adj}\left(G_{F(J_3),4}\right)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{F}(J_3),4}} = \underbrace{\mathbf{Adj}\left(G_{J_3,5}\right)}_{\mathfrak{g}_{J_3,5}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_0}_{\mathfrak{so}(1,1)_{KK}} + \underbrace{(\mathbf{3q+3})_{-2}}_{T_{3q+3}} + (\mathbf{3q+3})'_2\,, \tag{5.27}$$

where $G_{\mathbf{F}(J_3),4}=Aut\left(\mathbf{F}\left(J_3\right)\right)=Conf\left(J_3\right)$ and $G_{J_3,5}=Str_0\left(J_3\right)$ respectively denote the $D=4$ and $D=5$ U-duality groups; as mentioned above, for simple rank-3 Jordan algebras, $q:=\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{A}=\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{A}_s$, whereas $q=(m+n-4)/3$ for the class of semi-simple Jordan algebras $\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbf{\Gamma}_{m-1,n-1}$, where $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{m-1,n-1}$ stands for the Clifford algebra of $O(m-1,n-1)$. It should be remarked that, since this is a $\mathcal{N}=2$, $D=4$ theory, $G_{J_3,5}$ is nothing but a non compact, real form of the *mcs* $\left(G_{\mathbf{F}(J_3),4}\right)$.

Note that the "standard" total Iwasawa construction (2.4) (or, equivalently, (5.19)) takes into account of the maximal number $6q+3$ of Iwasawa (nilpotent) generators, but with high maximal degree ($d=9$ for all $J_3^{\mathbb{A}}$-based magic theories in $D=10$; *cfr.* Sec. 5.1), while the Iwasawa construction exploited in [44] is restricted to the axionic generators of the $D=4$ U-duality group G_4, but with lower (and *universal*) maximal degree ($d_{axionic}=3$). *At least* in the theories related to rank-3 Jordan algebras, the $3q+3$ axionic generators are related to the whole set of $6q+3$ Iwasawa generators through some Wick's rotation and linear combination: in fact, in the magic exceptional supergravity $E_{6(-78)}\times U(1)$ gets converted into $E_{6(-26)}\times SO(1,1)$.

5.3.2. $\mathcal{N}=8$, $D=4$ *Maximal* ($J_3^{\mathbb{O}_s}$). The difference between the two aforementioned approaches to the Iwasawa construction is more striking in the maximal supergravity theory.

As yielded by (5.19), the relevant (maximal, symmetric) embedding pertaining to the Iwasawa decomposition of the $D=4$ U-duality group $G_4\equiv G_{4,\mathbb{O}_s}=E_{7(7)}$ reads

$$E_{7(7)} \supset SU(8); \tag{5.28}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{133}}_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = \underbrace{\mathbf{63}_0}_{\mathfrak{h}_4} + \underbrace{\mathbf{70}}_{\mathfrak{a}_4\oplus\mathfrak{n}_4}, \tag{5.29}$$

where $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_4\right)=\text{rank}\left(\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}\right)=:\mathbf{r}=7$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathfrak{n}_4\right)=:\mathbf{I}=63$. Note that in this case the coset rank $\mathbf{r}$ matches the group rank l as well as the coset character χ; this is a common feature of all theories based on *split* algebras $\mathbb{A}_s$ (resulting in *split*, *i.e.* maximally non compact, forms of the corresponding U-duality groups, as it is the case for $E_{7(7)}$). The maximal degree of the polynomial in the Iwasawa decomposition of $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$ in the **56** of $E_{7(7)}$ is $d=27$.

On the other hand, the Iwasawa parametrization of axionic generators of $E_{7(7)}$, performed in [44], considers the maximal triangular subgroup of $E_{7(7)}$, containing the $D=5$ U-duality group $G_5\equiv$

$G_{5,\mathbb{O}_s} = E_{6(6)}$:

$$E_{7(7)} \supset E_{6(6)} \times SO(1,1)_{KK} \times_s T_{27}; \tag{5.30}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{133}}_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = \underbrace{\mathbf{78}_0}_{\mathfrak{g}_5} + \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_0}_{\mathfrak{so}(1,1)_{KK}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{27}_{-2}}_{T_{27}} + \mathbf{27}'_2. \tag{5.31}$$

Once again, note that (5.30) is nothing but a non compact form of the maximal and symmetric embedding (5.20) (different from the non compact form (5.22)). In this case, the maximal degree pertaining to the set of axionic generators T_{27} of $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$ is $d_{axionic} = 3$; it is the same as the one of $\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_{6(-78)} \times U(1)}$, because, as mentioned above, it is *universal*. In particular, at the level of cosets, (5.30) and (5.31) specify as

$$\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)} \sim \frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)} \times SO(1,1)_{KK} \times_s T_{27}; \tag{5.32}$$

$$70 = 42 + 1 + 27. \tag{5.33}$$

It should be remarked that, since this is *not* an $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ theory, $G_{J_3,5}$ is *not* a non compact, real form of the *mcs* $\left(G_{\mathbf{F}(J_3),4}\right)$.

In this case, the "standard" total Iwasawa construction (2.4) (or, equivalently, (5.19)) takes into account of the whole set of 63 Iwasawa (nilpotent) generators, but with high maximal degree $d = 27$ (for the other $\mathbb{H}_s$- and $\mathbb{C}_s$-based theories, see Sec. 5.2), while the Iwasawa construction exploited in [44] is restricted to the 27 axionic generators of the $D = 4$ U-duality group $E_{7(7)}$, but with lower (and *universal*) maximal degree $d_{axionic} = 3$. As observed above, the 27 axionic generators are related to the whole set of 63 Iwasawa generators through some Wick's rotation and linear combination.

5.3.3. *The $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ T^3 Model.* As already mentioned, among the theories with symmetric (vector multiplets') scalar manifolds, there is a unique case in which the two treatments under consideration coincide : it is the so-called T^3 model of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ Maxwell-Einstein supergravity, in which the unique Abelian vector multiplet is coupled *non-minimally*, but rather through a cubic holomorphic prepotential $\mathcal{F} = T^3$, to the gravity multiplet.

In this case, (5.19) trivially yields the (maximal, symmetric) embedding pertaining to the Iwasawa decomposition of the $D = 4$ U-duality group $G_4 = SL(2,\mathbb{R})$:

$$SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \supset U(1); \tag{5.34}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_0}_{\mathfrak{h}_4} + \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_2 + \mathbf{1}_{-2}}_{\mathfrak{a}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_4}, \tag{5.35}$$

where $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{a}_4) = \text{rank}\left(\frac{SL(2,\mathbb{R})}{U(1)}\right) =: \mathbf{r} = 1$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{n}_4) =: \mathbf{I} = 1$. Note that also in this case the coset rank $\mathbf{r}$ matches the group rank l as well as the coset character χ, because $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ trivially is the split form of $SU(2)$.

The maximal degree of the polynomial pertaining to the Iwasawa decomposition of $\frac{SL(2,\mathbb{R})}{U(1)}$ in the the relevant irrep. $\mathbf{4}$ (spin $s = 3/2$) of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is $d = 3$. Thus, it matches the universal result $d_{axionic} = 3$, which does pertain to the Iwasawa parametrization of the unique axionic generator of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ [44], considering the maximal triangular subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ itself:

$$SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \supset SO(1,1)_{KK} \times_s T_1; \tag{5.36}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_0}_{\mathfrak{so}(1,1)_{KK}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_{-2}}_{T_1} + \mathbf{1}_2. \tag{5.37}$$

Once again, note that (5.36) is nothing but a non compact form of the maximal and symmetric embedding (5.20). In this case the $D = 5$ U-duality group is empty ($G_5 = \varnothing$), because the T^3-model is the unique model which uplifts to *"pure"* minimal ($\mathcal{N} = 2$) $D = 5$ theory, in which only the gravity multiplet is present.

In particular, at the level of cosets, (5.36) and (5.37) respectively specify as

$$\frac{SL(2,\mathbb{R})}{U(1)} \sim SO(1,1)_{KK} \times_s T_1; \tag{5.38}$$

$$2 = 1 + 1. \tag{5.39}$$

The matching of the two approaches can be explained simply by observing that the unique generator of $\mathfrak{n}_4$ in (5.35) is T_1 occurring in (5.38).

Special Kaehler Symmetric Space	**R**	d	d_g
$\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^n : \frac{SU(1,n)}{SU(n)\times U(1)},\ n \in \mathbb{N}$	$\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{n} = (1, 0, ..., 0)$	$2 \quad (n > 1)$ $1 \quad (n = 1)$	$2 \quad (n > 1)$ $0 \quad (n = 1)$
$\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \times \frac{SO(2,n)}{SO(n)\times U(1)},$ $n \in \mathbb{N}\ \ (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbf{\Gamma}_{n-1,1})$	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{n}) =$ $(1)(1, 0, ..., 0) \quad (n > 1)$ $(1)(2) \quad (n = 1)$	$5\ (n > 2)$ $3\ (n = 1, 2)$	$4 \quad (n > 2)$ $0 \quad (n = 1, 2)$
$\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)}\ \ (\mathbb{R})$	$\mathbf{4} = (3)$	3	0
$\frac{Sp(6,\mathbb{R})}{SU(3)\times U(1)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{R}}\right)$	$\mathbf{14'} = (001)$	9	8
$\frac{SU(3,3)}{SU(3)\times SU(3)\times U(1)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$	$\mathbf{20} = (00100)$	9	8
$\frac{SO^*(12)}{SU(6)\times U(1)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathcal{N} = 2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} = 6\right)$	$\mathbf{32} = (000010)$ *or* $\mathbf{32'} = (000001)$	9	8
$\frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_6\times SO(2)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{O}}\right)$	$\mathbf{56} = (0000010)$	9	8

Table 4: $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ symmetric special Kähler vector multiplets' scalar manifolds. If any, the related Euclidean rank-3 Jordan algebras are reported in brackets throughout (the notation of [39] is used). By defining $q := dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{A}$ ($= 1, 2, 4, 8$ for $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$ respectively), the *complex* dimension of the $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4$ symmetric special Kähler manifolds based on $J_3^{\mathbb{A}}$ is $3q + 3$ [57]. The irrep. **R** of G_{nc} relevant for supergravity is reported, along with the corresponding degrees d and d_g. Note that $d = 9$ and $d_g = 8$ for every $q = 1, 2, 4, 8$. For the Dynkin notation of irreps., we adopt the conventions of [41] throughout. Notice that in the sixth row for both the representations **32** and **32'** of $SO^*(12)$ the degree is 9. This is due to the fact that the highest weight corresponds to the non compact root (see Remark after Table 3).

Quaternionic Symmetric Space	$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{Adj}(G)$	d	d_g
$\frac{SU(2,n+1)}{SU(n+1)\times SU(2)\times U(1)},\ n\in\mathbb{N}\ \cup\{0\}$	$(\mathbf{n+3})^2-\mathbf{1}$ $=(1,0,....0,1)$	8 $(n>1)$ 6 $(n=1)$ 4 $(n=0)$	6 $(n>1)$ 4 $(n=1)$ 2 $(n=0)$
$\frac{SO(4,n+2)}{SO(n+2)\times SO(4)}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0,-1\}\ \ (\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbf{\Gamma}_{n-1,1})$	$\frac{\mathbf{(n+6)(n+5)}}{\mathbf{2}}$ $=(0,1,0,...0)$	14 $(n>2)$ 10 $(n=2,1)$ 6 $(n=0)$ 2 $(n=-1)$	12 $(n>2)$ 8 $(n=2,1)$ 4 $(n=0)$ 0 $(n=-1)$
$\frac{G_{2(2)}}{SO(4)}\ \ (\mathbb{R})$	$\mathbf{14}=(10)$	10	8
$\frac{F_{4(4)}}{USp(6)\times SU(2)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{R}}\right)$	$\mathbf{52}=(1000)$	22	20
$\frac{E_{6(2)}}{SU(6)\times SU(2)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$	$\mathbf{78}=(000001)$	22	20
$\frac{E_{7(-5)}}{SO(12)\times SU(2)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{H}},\mathcal{N}=4\Leftrightarrow\mathcal{N}=12\right)$	$\mathbf{133}=(1000000)$	22	20
$\frac{E_{8(-24)}}{E_7\times SU(2)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{O}}\right)$	$\mathbf{248}=(00000010)$	22	20

Table 5: $\mathcal{N}=4$, $D=3$ symmetric quaternionic spaces, obtained through c-map [49] from the special Kähler manifolds of Table 4. Since the hypermultiplets are insensitive to dimensional reduction, these spaces can also be regarded as the hypermultiplets' scalar manifolds in $D=3,4,5,6$ (for a discussion of anomaly-free conditions in minimal chiral $(1,0)$ theories in $D=6$, see *e.g.* [52]). The relevant G -irrep. is the adjoint. In general, starting from a special Kähler geometry with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}=n$, the c-map generates a quaternionic manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}=n+1$ [49]. Thus, the quaternionic dimensions of the spaces corresponding to $q=1,2,4,8$ is $3q+4$ [49, 58]. The irrep. $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{Adj}(G_{nc})$ relevant for supergravity is reported, along with the corresponding degrees d and d_g. Note that $d=22$ and $d_g=20$ for every $q=1,2,4,8$. The unique other class of symmetric quaternionic spaces is given by the non compact quaternionic projective spaces $\overline{\mathbb{HP}}^n=Usp\,(2,2n)/(Usp(2)\times Usp(2n))$ $(n\in\mathbb{N})$, which is not in the c-map image of any (symmetric) special Kähler manifold [49] (indeed, its Ω-tensor - and thus, its corresponding quaternionic potential - identically vanishes [59]) for this class, still $\mathbf{R}(Usp(2,2n))=\mathbf{Adj}=(\mathbf{2n+3})(\mathbf{n+1})$=(2,0,...,0), and $d=4$, $d_g=2$ if $n>1$, $d=2$, $d_g=0$ if $n=1$.

Real Special Symmetric Space	**R**	d	d_g
$\frac{SO(1,n)}{SO(n)},\ n\geq 2$	$\begin{array}{rll}\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{n} & =(1,0,...,0) & (n>2)\\ \mathbf{3} & =(2) & (n=2)\end{array}$	2	0
$SO(1,1)\otimes\frac{SO(1,n-1)}{SO(n-1)},$ $n\geq 2\ \ (\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbf{\Gamma}_{n-1,1})$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{n})=$ $(0)\,(0)+(0)\,(1,0,...,0)\ (n>3)$ $(0)\,(0)+(0)\,(2)\ (n=3)$ $(0)\,(0)+(0)\,(0)\ (n=2)$	$2\ (n>2)$ $0\ (n=2)$	0
$\frac{SL(3,\mathbb{R})}{SO(3)}\ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{R}}\right)$	$\mathbf{6'}=(02)$	4	2
$\frac{SL(3,\mathbb{C})}{SU(3)}\ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$	$\mathbf{9}=\left(\mathbf{3},\overline{\mathbf{3}}\right)=(10)(01)$	4	2
$\frac{SU^*(6)}{USp(6)}\ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{H}},\mathcal{N}=2\Leftrightarrow\mathcal{N}=6\right)$	$\mathbf{15}=(01000)$	4	2
$\frac{E_{6(-26)}}{F_4}\ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{O}}\right)$	$\mathbf{27}=(100000)$	4	2

Table 6: $\mathcal{N}=2$, $D=5$ symmetric real special vector multiplets' scalar manifolds; they are nothing but the *moduli spaces* of non-BPS $Z\neq 0$ extremal black hole attractors of the corresponding theory in $D=4$ [57]. They are obtained from the corresponding symmetric special Kähler manifolds of Table 4 through the so-called R-map, with the notable exception of the case $\frac{SO(1,n)}{SO(n)}$. From [60] the latter does not correspond to the R-map image of any symmetric space, as the *minimally coupled* $D=4$ models based on $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^n$ cannot be uplifted to $D=5$. If any, the related Euclidean rank-3 Jordan algebras are reported in brackets throughout. The *real* dimension of the manifolds based on $J_3^{\mathbb{A}}$ is $3q+2$ [57]. The irrep. $\mathbf{R}$ of G_{nc} relevant for supergravity is reported, along with the corresponding degrees d and d_g. Note that $d=4$ and $d_g=2$ for every $q=1,2,4,8$. The coset $\frac{SO(1,n)}{SO(n)}$ is not related to a rank-3 Jordan algebra, and the corresponding $D=4$ theory is based on an homogeneous non-symmetric manifold; for further details, see *e.g.* [54] (see also [61]). $\frac{SL(3,\mathbb{C})}{SU(3)}$ is the unique coset (*at least* among symmetric scalar manifolds in supergravity) belonging to the class of symmetric spaces $\frac{G_{\mathbb{C}}}{G_{\mathbb{R}}}$ [38], where $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex (non compact) (semi-)simple Lie group regarded as a real group, and $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is its compact, real form ($mcs\,(G_{\mathbb{C}})=G_{\mathbb{R}}$); in general, $\frac{G_{\mathbb{C}}}{G_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is a Riemann symmetric space with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{G_{\mathbb{C}}}{G_{\mathbb{R}}}\right)=\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $\mathrm{rank}\left(\frac{G_{\mathbb{C}}}{G_{\mathbb{R}}}\right)=\mathrm{rank}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$; this case deserves a separate analysis, which will be given elsewhere (we here anticipate the result relevant for the present treatment). Note that the $\mathbf{6'}$ of $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ is not fundamental; together with the spin $s=3/2$ irrep. $\mathbf{4}$ of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ in the so-called $D=4$ T^3 model, this is the only case in which the relevant G_{nc}-repr. is not fundamental.

$D=4: G_{\mathcal{N}}/H_{\mathcal{N}}$	$\mathbf{R}$	d	d_g
$\mathcal{N}=3: \frac{SU(3,n)}{SU(3)\times SU(n)\times U(1)},\ n\in\mathbb{N}$	$\mathbf{3}+\mathbf{n}=(1,0,...,0)$	$6\ (n>3)$ $5\ (n=3)$ $4\ (n=2)$ $2\ (n=1)$	$10\ (n>3)$ $8\ (n=3)$ $4\ (n=2)$ $2\ (n=1)$
$\mathcal{N}=4: \frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)}\times\frac{SO(6,n)}{SO(6)\times SO(n)},$ $n\in\mathbb{N}\ \ (\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbf{\Gamma}_{n-1,5})$	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{6}+\mathbf{n})$ $=(1)(1,0,...,0)$	$13\ (n>6)$ $11\ (n=6,5)$ $9\ (n=4)$ $7\ (n=3)$ $5\ (n=2)$ $3\ (n=1)$	$20\ (n>6)$ $16\ (n=6,5)$ $12\ (n=4)$ $8\ (n=3)$ $4\ (n=2)$ $0\ (n=1)$
$\mathcal{N}=5: \frac{SU(1,5)}{SU(5)\times U(1)}\ \ (M_{1,2}(\mathbb{O}))$	$\mathbf{20}=(00100)$	2	2
$\mathcal{N}=2\Leftrightarrow\mathcal{N}=6: \frac{SO^*(12)}{SU(6)\times U(1)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{H}}\right)$	$\mathbf{32}=(000010)$ *or* $\mathbf{32'}=(000001)$	9	8
$\mathcal{N}=8: \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{O}_s}\right)$	$\mathbf{56}=(00000010)$	27	32

Table 7: Scalar manifolds of $\mathcal{N}\geq 3$, $D=4$ supergravity theories. Note that the scalar manifolds of $\mathcal{N}=6$ theory coincides with the one of $\mathcal{N}=2$ magic supergravity based on $J_3^{\mathbb{H}}$; in fact, these theories share the very same bosonic sector [62]. $M_{1,2}(\mathbb{O})$ is an exceptional Jordan triple system, generated by 2×1 vectors over $\mathbb{O}$ [4]. Note that, despite the different relevant irrep. $\mathbf{R}$ ($\mathbf{6}$ *vs.* rank-3 self-dual real $\mathbf{20}$), the degree of the Iwasawa polynomial pertaining to $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity minimally coupled to 5 vector multiplets (based on $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^5$) is the same as the one of $\mathcal{N}=5$ theory, which is *pure* (no matter coupling allowed); indeed, both $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{20}$ are fundamental irreps. of $SU(1,5)$, and the string $(d_1,...d_l)$ for $SU(1,n)$ is constant: $(d_1,...d_l)=2\,(1,...,1)$. Notice that in the fourth row for both the representations $\mathbf{32}$ and $\mathbf{32'}$ of $SO^*(12)$ the degree is 9. This is due to the fact that the highest weight corresponds to the non compact root (see Remark after Table 3).

$D=5: G_{\mathcal{N}}/H_{\mathcal{N}}$	$\mathbf{R}$	d	d_g
$\mathcal{N}=4:$ $SO(1,1)\times\frac{SO(5,n-1)}{SO(n-1)\times SO(5)},$ $n\geq 2\ \ (\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbf{\Gamma}_{n-1,5})$	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{4})=$ $(0)\,(0)+(0)\,(1,0,...,0)$	$10\ (n>5)$ $8\ (n=5)$ $6\ (n=4)$ $4\ (n=3)$ $2\ (n=2)$	$16\ (n>5)$ $12\ (n=5)$ $8\ (n=4)$ $4\ (n=3)$ $0\ (n=2)$
$\mathcal{N}=2\Leftrightarrow\mathcal{N}=6: \frac{SU^*(6)}{USp(6)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{H}}\right)$	$\mathbf{15}=(01000)$	4	2
$\mathcal{N}=8: \frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{O}_s}\right)$	$\mathbf{27}=(100000)$	16	20

Table 8: Scalar manifolds of $\mathcal{N}\geq 4$, $D=5$ supergravity theories. Note that the scalar manifold of the $\mathcal{N}=6$ theory coincides with the one of $\mathcal{N}=2$ magic supergravity based on $J_3^{\mathbb{H}}$; in fact, these theories share the very same bosonic sector [62].

$D=3: G_{\mathcal{N}}/H_{\mathcal{N}}$	$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{Adj}$	d	d_g
$\mathcal{N}=5: \frac{USp(4,2n)}{USp(4)\times USp(2n)},\ n\in\mathbb{N}$	$\frac{\mathbf{(2n+5)(2n+4)}}{\mathbf{2}}$ $=(2,0,...,0)$	$8\ (n>2)$ $6\ (n=2)$ $4\ (n=1)$	$6\ (n>2)$ $4\ (n=2)$ $2\ (n=1)$
$\mathcal{N}=6: \frac{SU(4,n)}{SU(4)\times SU(n)\times U(1)},\ n\in\mathbb{N}$	$\mathbf{(n+4)^2-1}$ $=(1,0,....0,1)$	$16\ (n>4)$ $14\ (n=4)$ $12\ (n=3)$ $8\ (n=2)$ $4\ (n=1)$	$14\ (n>4)$ $12\ (n=4)$ $10\ (n=3)$ $6\ (n=2)$ $2\ (n=1)$
$\mathcal{N}=8: \frac{SO(8,n+2)}{SO(8)\times SO(n+2)},$ $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0,-1\}\ \ (\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbf{\Gamma}_{n-1,5})$	$\frac{\mathbf{(n+10)(n+9)}}{\mathbf{2}}$ $=(0,1,...,0)$	$30\ (n>6)$ $26\ (n=6,5)$ $22\ (n=4)$ $18\ (n=3)$ $14\ (n=2)$ $10\ (n=1)$ $6\ (n=0)$ $2\ (n=-1)$	$28\ (n>6)$ $24\ (n=6,5)$ $20\ (n=4)$ $16\ (n=3)$ $12\ (n=2)$ $8\ (n=1)$ $4\ (n=0)$ $0\ (n=-1)$
$\mathcal{N}=9: \frac{F_{4(-20)}}{SO(9)}$	$\mathbf{52}=(1000)$	4	2
$\mathcal{N}=10: \frac{E_{6(-14)}}{SO(10)\times SO(2)}\ \ (M_{1,2}(\mathbb{O}))$	$\mathbf{78}=(000001)$	8	6
$\mathcal{N}=4\Leftrightarrow\mathcal{N}=12: \frac{E_{7(-5)}}{SO(12)\times SU(2)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{H}}\right)$	$\mathbf{133}=(1000000)$	22	20
$\mathcal{N}=16: \frac{E_{8(8)}}{SO(16)}\ \ \left(J_3^{\mathbb{O}_s}\right)$	$\mathbf{248}=(00000010)$	58	56

Table 9: Scalar manifolds of $\mathcal{N}\geq 5$, $D=3$ supergravity theories. Note that the scalar manifold of the $\mathcal{N}=12$ theory coincides with the one of $\mathcal{N}=4$ magic supergravity based on $J_3^{\mathbb{H}}$; in fact, these theories share the very same bosonic sector [62]. Note that, as for the $\mathcal{N}=4$ models with symmetric scalar manifold reported in Table 5, the relevant G-irrep. is the adjoint.

	$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{C}$	$\mathbb{H}$	$\mathbb{O}$	D	d	d_g
$\mathrm{Str}_0\left(J_2^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$	$SO(1,2)$	$SO(1,3)\times SO(2)$	$SO(1,5)\times SO(3)$	$SO(1,9)$	6	2	0
$\mathrm{Str}_0\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$	$SL(3,\mathbb{R})$	$SL(3,\mathbb{C})$	$SU^*(6)$	$E_{6(-26)}$	5	4	2
$\mathrm{Conf}\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$	$Sp(6,\mathbb{R})$	$SU(3,3)$	$SO^*(12)$	$E_{7(-25)}$	4	9	8
$\mathrm{QConf}\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$	$F_{4(4)}$	$E_{6(2)}$	$E_{7(-5)}$	$E_{8(-24)}$	3	22	20

Table 10: Values of degrees d and d_g of Iwasawa polynomials for magic Maxwell-Einstein supergravities in $D=3,4,5,6$ Lorentzian space-time dimensions. They only depend on D, and not on $q:=\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{A}$; this seems consistent with the fact that these homogeneous (symmetric) manifolds are in the same Tits-Satake universality class (see *e.g.* [64]).

$D=3: G_{3,\mathbb{A}_s}/mcs\left(G_{3,\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$	$G_{3,\mathbb{A}_s}\supset_s^{\max} G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s}\times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathbf{R}_3\equiv\mathbf{Adj}\left(G_{3,\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$ $=\left(\mathbf{Adj}\left(G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s}\right),\mathbf{1}\right)$ $+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})+(\mathbf{R}_4,\mathbf{2})$	$d=d_g+2$	$d_g=\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbf{R}_4$
$\mathcal{N}=16:\frac{E_{8(8)}}{SO(16)}$ $\left(J_3^{\mathbb{O}_s},\ q=8\right)$	$E_{8(8)}\supset_s^{\max} E_{7(7)}\times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathbf{248}=(\mathbf{133},\mathbf{1})+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})+(\mathbf{56},\mathbf{2})$	58	56
$\mathcal{N}=0:\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$ $\left(J_3^{\mathbb{H}_s},\ q=4\right)$	$E_{7(7)}\supset SO(6,6)\times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathbf{133}=(\mathbf{66},\mathbf{1})+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})+(\mathbf{32},\mathbf{2})$	34	32
$\mathcal{N}=0:\frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}$ $\left(J_3^{\mathbb{C}_s},\ q=2\right)$	$E_{6(6)}\supset_s^{\max} SL(6,\mathbb{R})\times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathbf{78}=(\mathbf{35},\mathbf{1})+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})+(\mathbf{20},\mathbf{2})$	22	20

Table 11: Scalar manifolds of $D=3$ Maxwell-Einstein gravity theories based on rank-3 simple Jordan algebras $J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}$on *split* algebras $\mathbb{A}_s=\mathbb{O}_s$, $\mathbb{H}_s$ and $\mathbb{C}_s$. The $D=3$ and $D=4$ U-duality groups are the quasiconformal resp. conformal groups of $J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}$: $G_{3,\mathbb{A}_s}=QConf\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$, $G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s}=Conf\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$. They occur in the fourth resp. third row of the symmetric Magic Square $\mathcal{L}_3\left(\mathbb{A}_s,\mathbb{B}_s\right)$ [68, 65]. In $D=3$, only the theory based on split octonions $\mathbb{O}_s$ can be regarded as the scalar sector of a locally supersymmetric theory (namely with maximal supersymmetry in $D=3:\mathcal{N}=16$), while the other theories are non-supersymmetric [69]. Interestingly, the degree d of the corresponding Iwasawa polynomial and the metric degree d_g of $G_{3,\mathbb{A}_s}/mcs\left(G_{3,\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$ are related to the dimension of the real symplectic $G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s}$-irrep. $\mathbf{R}_4$, in which the 2-form Abelian field strengths of the corresponding $D=4$ theory sit.

$D=4: G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s}/mcs\left(G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$	$G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s} \supset_s^{\max} G_{5,\mathbb{A}_s} \times SO(1,1)$ $\mathbf{R}_4 = (\mathbf{R}_5)_1 + (\mathbf{R}'_5)_{-1}$ $+\mathbf{1}_3 + \mathbf{1}_{-3}$	$d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{R}_5$	$d_g = 4q$
$\mathcal{N}=8: \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$ $(J_3^{\mathbb{O}_s},\ q=8)$	$E_{7(7)} \supset_s^{\max} E_{6(6)} \times SO(1,1)$ $\mathbf{56} = \mathbf{27}_1 + \mathbf{27}'_{-1} + \mathbf{1}_3 + \mathbf{1}_{-3}$	27	32
$\mathcal{N}=0: \frac{SO(6,6)}{SO(6)\times SO(6)}$ $(J_3^{\mathbb{H}_s},\ q=4)$	$SO(6,6) \supset SL(6,\mathbb{R}) \times SO(1,1)$ $\mathbf{32} = \mathbf{15}_1 + \mathbf{15}'_{-1} + \mathbf{1}_3 + \mathbf{1}_{-3}$	15	16
$\mathcal{N}=0: \frac{SL(6,\mathbb{R})}{SO(6)}$ $(J_3^{\mathbb{C}_s},\ q=2)$	$SL(6,\mathbb{R}) \supset_s^{\max}$ $SL(3,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(3,\mathbb{R}) \times SO(1,1)$ $\mathbf{20} = (\mathbf{3}',\mathbf{3})_1 + (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3}')_{-1}$ $+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_3 + (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-3}$	9	8

Table 12: Scalar manifolds of $D=4$ Maxwell-Einstein gravity theories based on rank-3 simple Jordan algebras $J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}$on *split* algebras $\mathbb{A}_s = \mathbb{O}_s$ $(q=8)$, $\mathbb{H}_s$ $(q=4)$ and $\mathbb{C}_s$ $(q=2)$. The $D=4$ and $D=5$ U-duality groups are the conformal resp. reduced structure groups of $J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}$: $G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s} = Conf\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$, $G_{5,\mathbb{A}_s} = Str_0\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$. They occur in the third resp.second row of the symmetric Magic Square $\mathcal{L}_3\left(\mathbb{A}_s,\mathbb{B}_s\right)$ [68, 65]. In $D=4$, only the theory based on split octonions $\mathbb{O}_s$ can be regarded as the scalar sector of a locally supersymmetric theory (namely with maximal supersymmetry in $D=4:\mathcal{N}=8$), while the other theories are non-supersymmetric [69]. Interestingly, the degree d of the corresponding Iwasawa polynomial is related to the dimension of the real symplectic $G_{5,\mathbb{A}_s}$-irrep. $\mathbf{R}_5$, in which the 2-form Abelian field strengths of the corresponding $D=5$ theory sit. On the other hand, the metric degree d_g of $G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s}/mcs\left(G_{4,\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$ is nothing but $4q$.

$D=5: G_{5,\mathbb{A}_s}/mcs\left(G_{5,\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$	$G_{5,\mathbb{A}_s} \supset_s^{\max} G_{6,\mathbb{A}_s} \times SO(1,1)$ $\mathbf{R}_5 = (\mathbf{R}_6)_1 + \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_6\right)_{-2} + \mathbf{1}_4$	$d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{R}_6$	d_g
$\mathcal{N}=8: \frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}$ $(J_3^{\mathbb{O}_s},\ q=8)$	$E_{6(6)} \supset_s^{\max} SO(5,5) \times SO(1,1)$ $\mathbf{27} = \mathbf{16}_1 + \mathbf{10}_{-2} + \mathbf{1}_4$	16	20
$\mathcal{N}=0: \frac{SL(6,\mathbb{R})}{SO(6)}$ $(J_3^{\mathbb{H}_s},\ q=4)$	$SL(6,\mathbb{R}) \supset SL(4,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SO(1,1)$ $\mathbf{15} = (\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_1 + (\mathbf{6},\mathbf{1})_{-2} + (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_4$	8	8
$\mathcal{N}=0: \frac{SL(3,\mathbb{R})}{SO(3)} \times \frac{SL(3,\mathbb{R})}{SO(3)}$ $(J_3^{\mathbb{C}_s},\ q=2)$	$SL(3,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ $\supset_s^{\max} SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\times SO(1,1) \times SO(1,1)$ $(\mathbf{3'},\mathbf{3}) = (\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})_{-1,1} + (\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})_{-1,-2}$ $+ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{2,1} + (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{2,-2}$	4	2

Table 13: Scalar manifolds of $D=5$ Maxwell-Einstein gravity theories based on rank-3 simple Jordan algebras $J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}$on *split* algebras $\mathbb{A}_s = \mathbb{O}_s$, $\mathbb{H}_s$ and $\mathbb{C}_s$. The $D=5$ and $D=6$ U-duality groups are the structure groups of $J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}$ resp. $J_2^{\mathbb{A}_s} \sim \mathbf{\Gamma}_{q/2+1,q/2+1}$: $G_{5,\mathbb{A}_s} = Str_0\left(J_3^{\mathbb{A}_s}\right)$, and $G_{6,\mathbb{A}_s} = Str_0\left(J_2^{\mathbb{A}_s}\right) = SO\left(q/2+1,q/2+1\right) \times \frac{Tri(\mathbb{A}_s)}{SO(\mathbb{A}_s)}$. $G_{5,\mathbb{A}_s}$ occur in the second row of the symmetric Magic Square $\mathcal{L}_3\left(\mathbb{A}_s,\mathbb{B}_s\right)$ [68, 65], whereas $G_{6,\mathbb{A}_s}$ occur in the first row of Table 10. $Tri(\mathbb{A}_s)$ and $SO(\mathbb{A}_s)$ respectively denote the triality and norm-preserving groups of $\mathbb{A}_s$, and the factor $\frac{Tri(\mathbb{A}_s)}{SO(\mathbb{A}_s)}$ is non-trivial only for $q=4$ ($SL(2,\mathbb{R})$) and for $q=2$ ($SO(1,1)$). In $D=5$, only the theory based on split octonions $\mathbb{O}_s$ can be regarded as the scalar sector of a locally supersymmetric theory (namely with maximal supersymmetry in $D=5: \mathcal{N}=8$), while the other theories are non-supersymmetric. Interestingly, the degree d of the corresponding Iwasawa polynomial is related to the dimension of the real symplectic $G_{6,\mathbb{A}_s}$-irrep. $\mathbf{R}_6$, in which the vector multiplets of the corresponding (anomaly-free) $D=6$ theory sit.

Acknowledgments

AM and SF would like to thank Renata Kallosh for enlightening discussions. BLC and SF would like to thank Mario Trigiante for useful observations.

The work of BLC is supported in part by the European Commission under the FP7- PEOPLE-IRG-2008 Grant No. PIRG04-GA-2008-239412 "*String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry*" (STRING). BLC would like to thank the CERN Theoretical Physics Division, the ERC Advanced Grant no. 226455 *SUPERFIELDS* and the University of California Berkeley Center for Theoretical Physics, where part of this work was done, for kind hospitality and stimulating environment.

The work of SF is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 226455 *SUPERFIELDS*.

The work of AM is supported in part by the FWO - Vlaanderen, Project No. G.0651.11, and in part by the Interuniversity Attraction Poles Programme initiated by the Belgian Science Policy (P7/37).

Appendix A. On Racah-Casimir Polynomials in $\mathfrak{g}$

A systematic study of primitive invariant tensors of a *compact*, *simple* Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has been the subject of a number of monographies and papers along the years; here, we will give a concise *résumé*, mainly based on [74, 75, 76, 77], to which we address the reader for further elucidation and a list of references.

The symmetric invariant tensors give rise to the so-called Racah-Casimir polynomials of $\mathfrak{g}$; on the other hand, the skewsymmetric invariant tensors determine the non-trivial cocycles for the Lie algebra cohomology (see *e.g.* [78]). By denoting the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$ with l, it is well known [79] that there are l such invariant symmetric *primitive* polynomials of order δ_A $(A = 1, \ldots, l)$, which determine l independent *primitive* Racah-Casimir polynomials $\{\mathcal{C}_{\delta_A}\}_{A=1,\ldots,l}$ of the same order, as well as l skewsymmetric invariant primitive tensors $\Omega^{(2\delta_A-1)}$ of order $2\delta_A - 1$. The latter determine the non-trivial cocycles for the Lie algebra cohomology, their order being related to the topological properties of the associated compact group manifold G which, from the point of view of the real homology, behaves as products of l spheres $S^{(2\delta_A-1)}$ [80]. Indeed, the Poincaré polynomial of G is of the form (see *e.g.* [77])

$$f_G(t) = \prod_{A=1}^{l} \left(1 + t^{2\delta_A - 1}\right); \tag{A.1}$$

$$\sum_{A=1}^{l} (2\delta_A - 1) = \dim(G). \tag{A.2}$$

Remarkably, the so-called *principal* $SU(2)_P$ [34] is (generally non-symmetrically) embedded in G such that (2.11) holds, with $\delta_A = j_A + 1$. All simple Lie groups admits an embedded *principal* $SU(2)_P$, whose embedding is always maximal and non-symmetric. Exceptions are provided by $SU(3)$, which embeds $SO(3) \simeq SU(2)_P$ symmetrically, and by E_6, which embeds $SU(2)_P$ only *next-to-maximally*, *i.e.* through the 2-step chain of maximal embeddings:

$$E_6 \supset_s F_4 \supset SU(2)_P, \tag{A.3}$$

where the subscript "s" in the first embedding denotes that it is symmetric.

The $\mathcal{C}_{\delta_A}$'s are particular homogeneous polynomials in $U(\mathfrak{g})$, the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$: indeed, they generate the *center* $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ itself. Remarkably, $\{\mathcal{C}_{\delta_A}\}_{A=1,\ldots,l}$ constitutes a complete, "minimal-degree", *finitely generating* basis of the ring of invariant polynomials in $\mathfrak{g}$ (see *e.g.* [81]). Their degrees, *i.e.* the numbers δ_A, are known for all simple $\mathfrak{g}$, and they are reported in Table 2; they can be computed by diagonalizing the Coxeter element, the product of simple Weyl reflections (see *e.g.* [82]). The relation between δ_A's and the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$ has been determined in [83], and recently reviewed in many cases in App. A of [84]. It should also be recalled that a neat derivation of the Betti numbers $2\delta_A - 1 = 2j_A + 1$ of semisimple Lie groups is presented in [85].

Appendix B. Semispin Groups

Among the groups of type D_{2m} there are four interesting compact forms which we will shortly describe here [86].

The first one is the spin group $Spin(4m)$ that is a double covering of $SO(4m)$. It is realized as usual as a multiplicative subgroup of the even Clifford algebra associated to $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$ with the standard Euclidean product. If $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{4m}\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$ (naturally embedded into the Clifford algebra) then, setting $e := e_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot e_{2m}$, one gets that $\pm e$ generate the center of $Spin(4m)$. Since $e^2 = 1$ the center is thus a group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$

$$Z = \{1, e\} \times \{1, -e\} \equiv Z_1 \times Z_2. \tag{B.1}$$

$\mathfrak{g}$	δ_A	C_G	$\mathfrak{g}$	δ_A	C_G
A_{n-1}	$2, 3, \ldots, n$	n	B_n	$2, 4, \ldots, 2n$	$2n$
D_n	$2, 4, \ldots, 2n-2; n$	$2n-2$	C_n	$2, 4, \ldots, 2n$	$2n$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12$	12	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$2, 6, 8, 12$	12
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18$	18	$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$2, 6$	6
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30$	30			

Table 14: The orders δ_A of the Casimir invariant polynomials $\{\mathcal{C}_{\delta_A}\}_{A=1,\ldots,l}$, and the Coxeter number $C_G = \max\{\delta_A\}$ for each simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall $A_{n-1} = \mathfrak{su}(n)$, $B_n = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1)$, $C_n = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$, $D_n = \mathfrak{so}(2n)$. Simply-laced and non-simply-laced $\mathfrak{g}$'s are respectively listed on the left and right hand side.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathbf{Adj}\,(G) = \sum_{A=1}^{l}(\mathbf{2j}_A + \mathbf{1})$	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathbf{Adj}\,(G) = \sum_{A=1}^{l}(\mathbf{2j}_A + \mathbf{1})$
A_{n-1}	$\mathbf{n^2-1 = 3+5+...(2n-1)}$	B_n	$\mathbf{n(2n+1) = 3+7+...(4n-1)}$
D_n	$\mathbf{n(2n-1) = 3+7+...+(4n-5)+(2n-1)}$	C_n	$\mathbf{n(2n+1) = 3+7+...(4n-1)}$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathbf{78 = 3+9+11+15+17+23}$	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\mathbf{52 = 3+11+15+23}$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\mathbf{133 = 3+11+15+19+23+27+35}$	$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$\mathbf{14 = 3+11}$
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$\mathbf{248 = 3+15+23+27+35+39+47+59}$		

Table 15: Decomposition of the adjoint irrep. (2.11) under the maximal embedding (2.10) of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_P$ into $\mathfrak{g}$ [34].

Z contains a third $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup, the diagonal subgroup $Z_3 = 1, -1$. Thus, one can construct three quotient groups $S(4m)_i := Spin(4m)/Z_i$. For $m = 2$ the triality provides an isomorphism among the three groups so that $S(8)_i \simeq SO(8)$. But for $m \geq 3$ there is no triality and only $S(4m)_1 \simeq S(4m)_2$ are isomorphic. Thus we have two distinct quotients

$$SO(4m) \simeq S(4m)_3, \qquad S_s(4m) := S(4m)_1. \tag{B.2}$$

The last one is called the semispin group.
Finally, one can consider the group $PSO(4m) = SO(4m)/\pm I_{4m}$, where $\pm I_{4m}$ is the image of the center of $Spin(4m)$ in the projection $Spin(4m) \mapsto SO(4m)$.

Appendix C. Inverse Cartan Matrices

For sake of completeness, here we list the inverse Cartan matrices for all simple Lie groups.

$$\boldsymbol{C}^{-1}_{A_n} = \frac{1}{n+1}\begin{pmatrix} n & n-1 & n-2 & \ldots & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ n-1 & 2(n-1) & 2(n-2) & \ldots & 3\cdot 2 & 2\cdot 2 & 2 \\ n-2 & 2(n-2) & 3(n-2) & \ldots & 3\cdot 3 & 3\cdot 2 & 3 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 3 & 2\cdot 3 & 3\cdot 3 & \ldots & (n-2)3 & (n-2)2 & n-2 \\ 2 & 2\cdot 2 & 3\cdot 2 & \ldots & (n-2)2 & (n-1)2 & n-1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots & n-2 & n-1 & n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{B_n}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-2 & n-2 & n-2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-2 & n-1 & n-1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \dots & \frac{n-2}{2} & \frac{n-1}{2} & \frac{n}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{C_n}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 & 2 & 2/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & 3 & 3 & 3/2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-2 & n-2 & (n-2)/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-2 & n-1 & (n-1)/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-2 & n-1 & n/2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{D_n}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 & 2/2 & 2/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & 3 & 3/2 & 3/2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-2 & (n-2)/2 & (n-2)/2 \\ 1/2 & 2/2 & 3/2 & \dots & (n-2)/2 & n/4 & (n-2)/4 \\ 1/2 & 2/2 & 3/2 & \dots & (n-2)/2 & (n-2)/4 & n/4 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{G_2}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{F_4}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 & 8 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.6}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{E_6}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4/3 & 5/3 & 2 & 4/3 & 2/3 & 1 \\ 5/3 & 10/3 & 4 & 8/3 & 4/3 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 4/3 & 8/3 & 4 & 10/3 & 5/3 & 2 \\ 2/3 & 4/3 & 2 & 5/3 & 4/3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{E_7}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 15/2 & 5 & 5/2 & 9/2 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 5/2 & 2 & 3/2 & 3/2 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 9/2 & 3 & 3/2 & 7/2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C.8}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{E_8}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 7 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 5 \\ 7 & 14 & 20 & 16 & 12 & 8 & 4 & 10 \\ 10 & 20 & 30 & 24 & 18 & 12 & 6 & 15 \\ 8 & 16 & 24 & 20 & 15 & 10 & 5 & 12 \\ 6 & 12 & 18 & 15 & 12 & 8 & 4 & 9 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 10 & 15 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 & 8 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C.9}$$

Appendix D. Dynkin diagrams

Here we show the Dynkin diagrams for all the simple groups, with the fundamental representations corresponding to the simple weights associated to the simple roots.











Appendix E. The Satake Type Vectors

Here we give a complete list of the vectors with entry 1 if corresponding to a white dot of the Satake diagram and zero otherwise. In the formulas $\bar{e}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ indicates the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, where n is the rank of the group G_{nc} from which the NISS is realized, indicated in parenthesis. For the meaning of the indices n, p, k, refer to Table 3.

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_{AI(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{e}_i, \tag{E.1}$$

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_{AII(2k-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \bar{e}_{2i}, \tag{E.2}$$

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_{AIII_a(2n-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \bar{e}_i, \tag{E.3}$$

(E.4) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{AIII_b(2p-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p}(\bar{e}_i + \bar{e}_{n-i}),$$

(E.5) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{AIV(n)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_n,$$

(E.6) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{BI_a(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{e}_i,$$

(E.7) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{BI_b(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \bar{e}_i,$$

(E.8) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{BII(n)} = \bar{e}_1,$$

(E.9) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{CI(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{e}_i,$$

(E.10) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{CII_a(2k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{e}_{2i},$$

(E.11) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{CII_b(2k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \bar{e}_{2i},$$

(E.12) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{DI_a(n)} = \bar{\varepsilon}_{DI_b(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{e}_i,$$

(E.13) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{DI_c(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \bar{e}_i,$$

(E.14) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{DII(n)} = \bar{e}_1,$$

(E.15) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{DIII_a(2k+1)} = \bar{e}_{2k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{e}_{2i},$$

(E.16) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{DIII_b(2k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{e}_{2i},$$

(E.17) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{G(2)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_2,$$

(E.18) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{FI(4)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_2 + \bar{e}_3 + \bar{e}_4,$$

(E.19) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{FII(4)} = \bar{e}_4,$$

(E.20) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{EI(6)} = \bar{\varepsilon}_{EII(6)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_2 + \bar{e}_3 + \bar{e}_4 + \bar{e}_5 + \bar{e}_6,$$

(E.21) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{EIII(6)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_5 + \bar{e}_6,$$

(E.22) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{EIV(6)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_5,$$

(E.23) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{EV(7)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_2 + \bar{e}_3 + \bar{e}_4 + \bar{e}_5 + \bar{e}_6 + \bar{e}_7,$$

(E.24) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{EVI(7)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_2 + \bar{e}_3 + \bar{e}_5,$$

(E.25) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{EVII(7)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_5 + \bar{e}_6,$$

(E.26) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{EVIII(8)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_2 + \bar{e}_3 + \bar{e}_4 + \bar{e}_5 + \bar{e}_6 + \bar{e}_7 + \bar{e}_8,$$

(E.27) $$\bar{\varepsilon}_{EIX(8)} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_5 + \bar{e}_6 + \bar{e}_7.$$

References

[1] E. Cremmer, J. Scherk, S. Ferrara, *$SU(4)-$Invariant Supergravity Theory*, Phys.Lett. **B74** (1978) 61.

[2] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, *The $SO(8)$ Supergravity*, Nucl.Phys. **B159** (1979) 141; E. Cremmer, B. Julia, *The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Supergravity Theory. 1. The Lagrangian*, Phys.Lett. **B80** (1978) 48.

[3] B. Julia, *Group Disintegrations*, Proceedings of the Nuffield Workshop on "Superspace and Supergravity", Cambridge Univ. Press, S.W. Hawking and M. Rocek (eds), Conf.Proc. **C8006162** (1980) 331-350.

[4] M. Günaydin, G. Sierra, P. K. Townsend, *Exceptional Supergravity Theories and the Magic Square*, Phys. Lett. **B133** (1983) 72; M. Günaydin, G. Sierra, P. K. Townsend, *The Geometry of $\mathcal{N}= 2$ Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity and Jordan Algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B242** (1984) 244; M. Günaydin, G. Sierra, P. K. Townsend, *Gauging the $d = 5$ Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity Theories: More on Jordan Algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B253** (1985) 573; M. Günaydin, G. Sierra, P. K. Townsend, *More in $D = 5$ Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity : Symmetric Spaces and Kinks*, Class. Quant. Grav. **3** (1986) 763.

[5] E. Cremmer, A. Van Proeyen, *Classification Of Kähler Manifolds In $\mathcal{N}= 2$ Vector Multiplet Supergravity Couplings*, Class. Quant. Grav. **2** (1985) 445.

[6] B. de Wit, F. Vanderseypen, A. Van Proeyen, *Symmetry structure of special geometries*, Nucl. Phys. **B400** (1993) 463, `hep-th/9210068`.

[7] J. F. Luciani, *Coupling of $O(2)$ Supergravity with Several Vector Multiplets*, Nucl. Phys. **B132** (1978) 325.

[8] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fré, M. Trigiante, *RR Scalars, $U-$Duality and Solvable Lie Algebras*, Nucl.Phys. **B496** (1997) 617-629, `hep-th/9611014`; L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fré, R. Minasian, M. Trigiante, *Solvable Lie algebras in type IIA, type IIB and M theories*, Nucl.Phys. **B493** (1997) 249-280, `hep-th/9612202`.

[9] S. Ferrara, J. M. Maldacena, *Branes, central charges and U-duality invariant BPS conditions*, Class.Quant.Grav. **15** (1998) 749-758, `hep-th/9706097`.

[10] S. Ferrara, M. Günaydin, *Orbits of exceptional groups, duality and BPS states in string theory*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A13** (1998) 2075-2088, `hep-th/9708025`

[11] H. Lu, C. N. Pope, K. S. Stelle, *Multiplet structures of BPS solitons*, Class.Quant.Grav. **15** (1998) 537-561, `hep-th/9708109`.

[12] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, M. Günaydin, A. Marrani, *Charge orbits of symmetric special geometries and attractors*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A21** (2006) 5043-5098, `hep-th/0606209`

[13] R. Kallosh, B. Kol, *$E(7)$ symmetric area of the black hole horizon*, Phys.Rev. **D53** (1996) 5344-5348, `hep-th/9602014`.

[14] A. Strominger, *Macroscopic entropy of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ extremal black holes*, Phys.Lett. **B383** (1996) 39-43, `hep-th/9602111`.

[15] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, *U-invariants, black hole entropy and fixed scalars*, Phys.Lett. **B403** (1997) 12-19, `hep-th/9703156`.

[16] B. L. Cerchiai, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, B. Zumino, *Duality, Entropy and ADM Mass in Supergravity*, Phys.Rev. **D79** (2009) 125010, `arXiv:0902.3973 [hep-th]`.

[17] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Strominger, *$\mathcal{N} = 2$ extremal black holes*, Phys.Rev. **D52** (1995) 5412-5416, `hep-th/9508072`.

[18] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, *Supersymmetry and attractors*, Phys.Rev. **D54** (1996) 1514-1524, `hep-th/9602136`; S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, *Universality of supersymmetric attractors*, Phys.Rev. **D54** (1996) 1525-1534, `hep-th/9603090`; S. Ferrara, G. W. Gibbons, R. Kallosh, *Black holes and critical points in moduli space*, Nucl.Phys. **B500** (1997) 75-93, `hep-th/9702103`.

[19] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, M. Trigiante, *Extemal Black Holes in Supergravity*, Lect. Notes Phys. **737** (2008) 661-727, `hep-th/0611345`.

[20] H. Ooguri, A. Strominger, C. Vafa, *Black Hole Attractors and the Topological String*, Phys. Rev. **D70** (2004) 106007, `hep-th/0405146`.

[21] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, L. Dixon, H. Johansson, R. Roiban, *Amplitudes and Ultraviolet Behavior of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Supergravity*, Fortsch. Phys. 59 (2011) 561–578, `arXiv:1103.1848 [hep-th]`.

[22] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen, Y.t. Huang, *Absence of Three-Loop Four-Point Divergences in N=4 Supergravity*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **108** (2012) 201301, `arXiv:1202.3423 [hep-th]`; Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen, Y.t. Huang, *Ultraviolet Cancellations in Half-Maximal Supergravity as a Consequence of the Double-Copy Structure*, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 105014, `arXiv:1209.2472 [hep-th]`.

[23] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, *The Ultraviolet Properties of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supergravity at Four Loops*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **111** (2013) 231302, `arXiv:1309.2498 [hep-th]`.

[24] M. Fischler, *Finiteness Calculations for $O(4)$ Through $O(8)$ Extended Supergravity and $O(4)$ Supergravity Coupled to Selfdual $O(4)$ Matter*, Phys.Rev. **D20** (1979) 396-402.

[25] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen, *The Ultraviolet Structure of Half-Maximal Supergravity with Matter Multiplets at Two and Three Loops*, Phys.Rev. **D88** (2013) 065007, arXiv:1305.4876 [hep-th]

[26] N. Marcus, *Composite Anomalies in Supergravity*, Phys.Lett. **B157** (1985) 383

[27] G. Bossard, C. Hillmann, H. Nicolai, $E_{7(7)}$ *Symmetry in Perturbatively Quantized* $\mathcal{N} = 8$ *Supergravity*, JHEP **1012** (2010) 052, arXiv:1007.5472[hep-th].

[28] R. Kallosh, *The Ultraviolet Finiteness of* $\mathcal{N} = 8$ *Supergravity*, JHEP 1012 (2010) 009, arXiv:1009.1135 [hep-th].

[29] N. Beisert, H. Elvang, D. Z. Freedman, M. Kiermaier, A. Morales, S. Stieberger, $E_{7(7)}$ *Constaints on Counterterms in* $\mathcal{N} = 8$ *Supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B694** (2010) 265–271, arXiv:1009.1643 [hep-th].

[30] G. Bossard, H. Nicolai, *Counterterms vs. Dualities*, JHEP **1108** (2011) 074, arXiv:1105.1273 [hep-th].

[31] G. Bossard, P.S. Howe, K.S. Stelle, *On duality symmetries of supergravity invariants*, JHEP **1101** (2011) 020, arXiv:1009.0743 [hep-th].

[32] G. Bossard, P.S. Howe, K.S. Stelle, *Anomalies and divergences in* $\mathcal{N} = 4$ *supergravity*, Phys.Lett. **B719** (2013) 424-429, arXiv:1212.0841 [hep-th]; G. Bossard, P.S. Howe, K.S. Stelle, *Invariants and divergences in half-maximal supergravity theories*, JHEP **1307** (2013) 117, arXiv:1304.7753 [hep-th] .

[33] S. L. Cacciatori, B. L. Cerchiai, A. Marrani, *Iwasawa* $\mathcal{N} = 8$ *Attractors*, J. Mat. Phys. **51** (2010) 102502, arXiv:1005.2231 [hep-th].

[34] B. Kostant, *The Three Dimensional Sub-Group and the Betti Numbers of a Complex Simple Lie Group*, Am. J. Math. **81** (1959) 973-1032.

[35] A.M. Perelomov, *Integrable Systems of Classical Mechanics and Lie Algebras*, Nauka: Moscow, Engl. transl. Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1990.

[36] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger, S. Theisen, *Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields*, JHEP**11** (2010) 007, arXiv:1008.4744 [hep-th].

[37] A. Castro, E. Hijano, A. Lepage-Jutier, *Unitarity Bounds in* AdS_3 *Higher Spin Gravity*, JHEP**06** (2012) 001, arXiv:1202.4467 [hep-th].

[38] S. Helgason, *"Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces"* (Academic Press, New York, 1978).

[39] S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, *Symmetric Spaces in Supergravity*, in: "Symmetry in Mathematics and Physics" (D. Babbitt, V. Vyjayanthi and R. Fioresi Eds.), Contemporary Mathematics **490**, American Mathematical Society, Providence 2009, arXiv:0808.3567 [hep-th].

[40] S. L. Cacciatori, B. L. Cerchiai, A. Marrani, *Magic Coset Decompositions*, preprint CERN-PH-TH/2012-020, to appear in "Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.", arXiv:1201.6314 [hep-th].

[41] R. Slansky, *Group Theory for unified Model Building* Physics Reports (Review Section of Phys. Lett.) **79** No. 1, (1981) 128.

[42] S. Araki, *On root systems and an infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces*, Journal of Mathematics, Osaka City University, Vol. **13**, n. 1 (1962) 1.

[43] S. L. Cacciatori, F. Dalla Piazza, A. Scotti, *Compact Lie groups: Euler constructions and generalized Dyson conjecture*, arXiv:1207.1262 [math.GR].

[44] A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, A. Gnecchi, A. Marrani, *d-Geometries Revisited*, JHEP **1302** (2013) 059, arXiv:1210.5983 [hep-th].

[45] E. Calabi, E. Vesentini, *On Compact, Locally Symmetric Kähler Manifolds*, Ann. Math. **71**, 472 (1960).

[46] I. Yokota, O. Yasukura, *Noncompact simple Lie group* $E_{8(8)}$, Tsukuba J. Math. Vol. **10** No. 2 (1986), 331-349.

[47] R. Gilmore, *"Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of Their Applications"* (Dover Publications, 2006).

[48] S. Ferrara, S. Sabharwal, *Quaternionic Manifolds For Type II Superstring Vacua Of Calabi-Yau Spaces*, Nucl. Phys. **B 332** (1990) 317.

[49] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello, *Geometry of Type II Superstrings and the Moduli of Superconformal Field Theories*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4** (1989) 2475.

[50] M. Günaydin, S. McReynolds, M. Zagermann, *The R-map and the coupling of* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *tensor multiplets in* 5 *and* 4 *dimensions*, JHEP **0601** (2006) 168, hep-th/0511025.

[51] P. Jordan, J. von Neumann, E. P. Wigner, *On an Algebraic generalization of the quantum mechanical formalism*, Annals Math. **35** (1934) 29.

[52] L. Andrianopoli, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, M. Trigiante, *Non-BPS Attractors in 5d and 6d Extended Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B795** (2008) 428, arXiv:0709.3488 [hep-th].

[53] M. Günaydin, H. Samtleben, E. Sezgin, *On the Magical Supergravities in Six Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B848** (2011) 62, arXiv:1012.1818 [hep-th].

[54] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, *Broken sigma model isometries in very special geometry*, Phys. Lett. **B293** (1992) 94, hep-th/9207091 [hep-th].

[55] M. Günaydin, *Lectures on Spectrum Generating Symmetries and U-duality in Supergravity, Extremal Black Holes, Quantum Attractors and Harmonic Superspace*, arXiv:0908.0374 [hep-th].

[56] J. C. Baez, *The Octonions*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **39** (2001) 145, math/0105155.

[57] S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, *On the Moduli Space of non-BPS Attractors for $\mathcal{N}$= 2 Symmetric Manifolds*, Phys. Lett. **B652** (2007) 111, arXiv:0706.1667.

[58] M. Bianchi, S. Ferrara, *Enriques and Octonionic Magic Supergravity Models*, JHEP **0802** (2008) 054, arXiv:0712.2976 [hep-th].

[59] A. Galperin, O. Ogievetsky, *Harmonic potentials for quaternionic symmetric sigma models*, Phys. Lett. **B301** (1993) 67, hep-th/9210153; A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, O. Ogievetsky, *Harmonic space and quaternionic manifolds*, Annals Phys. **230** (1994) 201, hep-th/9212155.

[60] B. de Wit, A. Van Proeyen, *Broken sigma model isometries in very special geometry*, Phys.Lett. **B293** (1992) 94-99, hep-th/9207091.

[61] L. Borsten, M. J. Duff, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, W. Rubens, *Small Orbits*, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 086002, arXiv:1108.0424 [hep-th].

[62] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, *Central extension of extended supergravities in diverse dimensions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997) 3759, hep-th/9608015; L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, *U duality and central charges in various dimensions revisited*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13** (1998) 431, hep-th/9612105; S. Ferrara, A. Gnecchi, A. Marrani, *d = 4 Attractors, Effective Horizon Radius and Fake Supergravity*, Phys. Rev. **D78**, (2008) 065003, arXiv:0806.3196; D. Roest, H. Samtleben, *Twin Supergravities*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, (2009) 155001, arXiv:0904.1344 [hep-th].

[63] B. L. Cerchiai, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, B. Zumino, *Charge Orbits of Extremal Black Holes in Five Dimensional Supergravity*, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 085010, arXiv:1006.3101 [hep-th].

[64] P. Fré, F. Gargiulo, J. Rosseel, K. Rulik, M. Trigiante, A. Van Proeyen, *Tits-Satake projections of homogeneous special geometries*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 27, hep-th/0606173; P. Fré, A. S. Sorin, M. Trigiante, *Black Hole Nilpotent Orbits and Tits Satake Universality Classes*, arXiv:1107.5986 [hep-th].

[65] S. L. Cacciatori, B. L. Cerchiai, A. Marrani, *Squaring the Magic*, arXiv:1208.6153 [math-ph].

[66] A. Kleinschmidt, *Counting supersymmetric branes*, JHEP **1110** (2011) 144, arXiv:1109.2025 [hep-th].

[67] E. A. Bergshoeff, A. Marrani, F. Riccioni, *Brane Orbits*, Nucl. Phys. **B861** (2012) 104, arXiv:1201.5819 [hep-th].

[68] C. H. Barton, A. Sudbery, *Magic Squares of Lie Algebras*, arXiv:math/0001083; C. H. Barton, A. Sudbery, *Magic squares and matrix models of Lie algebras*, Adv. in Math. **180**(2003) 596, math/0203010 [math.RA].

[69] P. Breitenlohner, D. Maison, G. W. Gibbons, *Four-Dimensional Black Holes from Kaluza-Klein Theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **120** (1988) 295.

[70] F. Riccioni, P. C. West, *The E_{11} origin of all maximal supergravities*, JHEP **0707** (2007) 063, arXiv:0705.0752 [hep-th].

[71] E. A. Bergshoeff, I. De Baetselier, T. A. Nutma, *E_{11} and the embedding tensor*, JHEP **0709** (2007) 047, arXiv:0705.1304 [hep-th].

[72] A. Strominger, *Special Geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **133** (1990) 163; S. Cecotti, C. Vafa, *Topological antitopological fusion*, Nucl. Phys. **B367** (1991) 359.

[73] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, W. Lerche, J. Louis, *Picard-Fuchs equations and special geometry*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 79, hep-th/9204035.

[74] J. A. de Azcarraga, A. J. Macfarlane, A. J. Mountain and J.C. Perez Bueno, *Invariant Tensors for Simple Groups*, Nucl. Phys. **B510**, 657 (1998), physics/9706006.

[75] A. J. Mountain, *Invariant Tensors and Casimir Operators for Simple Compact Lie Groups*, J. Math. Phys. **39**, 5601 (1998).

[76] A. J. Macfarlane, H. Pfeiffer, *On Characteristic Equations, Trace Identities and Casimir Operators for Simple Lie Algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **41**, 3192 (2000).

[77] W. Greub, S. Halperin, R. Vanstone : *"Connections, Curvature and Cohomology; Vol. II : Lie Groups, Principal Bundles and Characteristic Class"*, Academic Press (New York, 1973).

[78] C. Chevalley, S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **63**, (1948) 85–124.

[79] G. Racah, *Sulla caratterizzazione delle rappresentazioni irreducibili dei gruppi semisimplici di Lie*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat. **VIII** (1950) 108-112, Princeton lectures, CERN-61-8 (reprinted in : Ergeb. Exact Naturwiss. **37** (1965) 28-84), Springer-Verlag; I. M. Gel'fand, *The center of an infinitesimal group ring*, Math. Sbornik **26**, (1950) 103-112, (English translation: Los Alamos Sci. Lab. AEC-TR-6133 (1963)). L. C. Biedenharn, *On the representations of the semisimple Lie groups I*, J. Math. Phys. **4** (1963) 436-445; A. Klein, *Invariant operators of the unimodular group in n dimensions*, J. Math. Phys. **4**, (1963) 1283-1284; B. Gruber, L. O'Raifeartaigh, *S-theorem*

and construction of the invariants of the semisimple compact Lie algebras, J. Math. Phys. **5** (1964) 1796-1804; A. M. Perelomov, V. S. Popov, *Casimir operators for semisimple groups*, Math. USSR-Izvestija **2** (1968) 1313-1335; S. Okubo, J. Patera, *General indices of representations and Casimir invariants*, J. Math. Phys. **25** (1983) 219-227; S. Okubo, *Modified fourth-order Casimir invariants and indices for simple Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **23** (1982) 8-20.

[80] E. Cartan, *La topologie des groupes de Lie*, L'Enseignement math. **35**, 177-200 (1936); L. Pontrjagin, *Sur les nombres de Betti des groupes de Lie*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **200** (1935) 1277-1280 ; H. Hopf, *Über die topologie der gruppen-manigfaltigkeiten und ihre verallgemeinerungen*, Ann. Math. **42** (1941) 22-52; W. V. D. Hodge, "*The theory and applications of harmonic integrals"*, Cambridge Univ. Press (Cambridge, 1941); H. Samelson, *Topology of Lie groups*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **57** (1952) 2-37; A. Borel, *Topology of Lie groups and characteristic classes*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **61** (1965) 397-432; R. Bott, *The geometry and representation theory of compact Lie groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. **34**, 65-90, Camb. Univ. Press (1979); L .J. Boya, *The geometry of compact Lie groups*, Rep. Math. Phys. **30** (1991) 149-162; J. A. de Azcárraga, J. C. Pérez Bueno, *Higher-order simple Lie algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **184**, 669-681 (1997).

[81] V. G. Kac, *Some Remarks on Nilpotent Orbits*, J. of Algebra **64** (1980) 190-213.

[82] J. E. Humphreys, *"Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups"*, Cambridge Univ. Press (Cambridge, 1990); J. Dixmier, *"Enveloping Algebras"*, North-Holland Publ. (1977).

[83] S. Katz, D. R. Morrison, *Gorenstein Threefold Singularities with Small Resolutions via Invariant Theory for Weyl Groups*, J. Alg. Geom. **1**, 449-530 (1991), `alg-geom/9202002`; T. Shioda, *Construction of Elliptic Curves with High Rank via the Invariants of the Weyl Groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **43**, 673-719 (1991).

[84] Y. Tachikawa, S. Terashima, *Seiberg-Witten Geometries Revisited*, JHEP **1109**, 010 (2011), `arXiv:1108.2315 [hep-th]`.

[85] H. Freudenthal, H. de Vries, *"Linear Lie Groups"*, Academic Press (New York, 1969).

[86] B. McInnes, *The semispin Groups in String Theory*, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 4699-4712.